Yellow Rail (Coturnicops noveboracensis) Conservation Status Rank Summary

December 9, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value		Data Source	Comments				
Rarity									
Range Extent	2024-12-09	S: 18144.1 km²	3.140	MTNHP Range Maps	None				
Area of Occupancy	2024-12-09	557 4km² cells	4.130	MTNHP Modeling	None				
Number of Occurrences	2024-12-09	6	1.380	MTNHP Databases	None				
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Environmental Specificity	2009-01-22	Narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Dependent on shallow wetland habitats. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B				

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((3.14 \times 1) + (4.13 \times 2) + (1.38 \times 1)) / 4 = 3.20$

Trends				
Short-term Trend	2024-12-09	1	MTNHP Data	Factor not used in ranking. Species has been observed once in Montana since 2012. Trend is unknown.
Long-term Trend	2024-12-09	-0.070		None

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((-0.07 \times 1)) = -0.07$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value Scor		Data Source	Comments		
Threats							
Overall Threat Impact		High	1.830		Climate change, Habitat loss, grazing and encroachment of woody vegetation in absence of wild fires decreases habitat quality.		
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2009-01-22	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C		
Threat score	is calculated fr		t Impact w		e or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not:		

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2024-12-09	Medium	Large	Moderate	High	Conversion of native habitats to agriculture. Species relies on wetlands, which may be less suited for crop production, but conversion likely threatens some of the species habitat.
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-12-09	High	Pervasive	Serious	Moderate	Audubon's survival by Degrees project predicts habitat loss for this species under all warming scenarios

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 1 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(3.20 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(1.83 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (-0.07) = 2.72

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3B				
Date Approved	1996-06-01				
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee				
Rank Justification	Species is rare and found only in the far northeastern corner of Montana within wetland habitat. It has few recent observations and population trend is uncertain. If faces threats to its habitat from conversion of native habitat to agriculture and climate change.				

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNME01010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNME01010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Rank Assessment						
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	General		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danas Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
	,	Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends		Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
	Trend Quality	Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Species range is informed by few observations, and it may be regularly present outside of its current range polygon as there is suitable habitat predicted on the periphery. Very few data have been submitted in recent years and the current status of the population is unknown.

Summary of Information Needs

Resurvey and regular monitoring of historic occurrences as well as surveys of suitable habitat within range and in the vicinity of the boundary.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.1 - Annual & Perennial Non-Timber Crops	2024-12-09	Dan Bachen	MTNHP Data	Large	Moderate	High	Conversion of native habitats to agriculture. Species relies on wetlands, which may be less suited for crop production, but conversion likely threatenes some of the species habitat.
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.1 - Habitat Shifting & Alteration	2024-12-09	Dan Bachen	Audubon Survival by Degrees	Pervasiv e	Serious	Moderat e	Audubon's survival by Degrees project predicts habitat loss for this speciesunder all warming scenerios