# Woodhouse's Toad (*Anaxyrus woodhousii*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 27, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments	
Rarity						
Range Extent	2025-01-27	Y: 196712.0 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None	
Area of Occupancy	2025-01-27	4171   4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None	
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Environmental Specificity	2018-05-03	-03 Moderate		MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Distributed widely across shrublands, grasslands, and badlands. Appears to use a range of lentic waterbody types for breeding   Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C	
Trends	Rarity	v is calculated by a ( (3.93 × 1)	averaging v + (4.81 × 2) )		tor scores:	
Short-term Trend	2018-05-03		0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Populations appear stable based on lentic surveys conducted over the last 15 years. Species was detected regularly during 2016 calling surveys.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: E	
Long-term Trend	-term Trend 2018-05-03		-0.140	.140 Many riparian habitats (prairie habitats) have been lost to land over the last century. However uses reservoirs and other man- waterbodies if available. A net l likely since European arrival.   (2003)   Original Score: D		
Tren	ds score is calo		ng weighte ) + (- <b>0.14</b> × 1		long-term trend scores:	

# **Rarity and Trends**

# Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Low/No Threats	5.500		No threats	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-05-03	Moderately vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. This species has high fecundity, a moderate age of maturity, and recruitment can be low.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: B	
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: ( 5.50 ) = 5.50						

### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
No individual threats data used in ranking this species							

### **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity: (4.52 × 70%) + Threats: (5.50 × 30%) + Trends: (-0.14) = 4.67

Calculated Rank: S5

Accepted Rank	S5					
Date Approved	2025-01-27					
Approval Authority	MTNHP Staff					
Rank Justification	Species is common, widely distributed, and stable.					

# Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana\_State\_Rank\_Criteria\_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AAABB01180

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AAABB01180

## **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	Mahua	Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value	Citteria				
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Dan an Onalita	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
-		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
Inreats		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability None

Summary of Information Needs None

# **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
No threats data available for this species							