# Western Spotted Skunk (Spilogale gracilis) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 25, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

# **Rarity and Trends**

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments				
Rarity									
Range Extent	2024-09-25	Y: 113954.8 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None				
Area of Occupancy	2024-09-25	8200   4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None				
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Population Size			_		Factor not used in ranking.				
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Environmental Specificity	2024-09-25	2024-09-25 Unknown -		Expert Opinion	Factor not used in ranking. Species is infrequently detected and assessing habitat associations in Montana is problematic				

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores:  $((3.93 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2)) / 3 = 4.52$ 

Trends								
Short-term Trend	2012-01-04		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. No data on trends available.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: U			
Long-term Trend	2012-01-04		[-0.070, 0.070]	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Riparian areas, rock outcrops and other habitats the species uses have probably been stable (+/-25%) since European arrival.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: E			

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores:  $(([-0.07, 0.07] \times 1)) = [-0.07, 0.07]$ 

# **Threats**

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Threats					
Overall Threat Impact		Unknown	-		Factor not used in ranking.
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2012-01-04	Not intrinsically vulnerable	5.500	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: C

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (5.50) = 5.50

### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments		
No individual threats data used in ranking this species								

#### **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity:  $(4.52 \times 70\%)$  + Threats:  $(5.50 \times 30\%)$  + Trends: ([-0.07, 0.07]) = [4.74, 4.88]

Calculated Rank: S5

Accepted Rank	SU
Date Approved	2024-09-30
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee
Rank Justification	Species is rare and difficult to detect. It has been observed 17 times in the state and only twice in the last decade. Range is uncertain, trends and threats are poorly characterized

# **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana\_State\_Rank\_Criteria\_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMAJF05020

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMAJF05020

#### **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment		Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value					
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danier Constitu	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
_		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Ovality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

## Summary of Information Availability

Species is known from sparse incidental records across southern Montana. Range may be larger than currently assessed. Trend and threats are unknown.

#### **Summary of Information Needs**

Baseline surveys to determine species distribution and establish monitoring will provide data to assess range, threats, and trend.

# **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
No Threat Identified - 0.5 - Unknown/Undetermined Threat	2024-09-25	None	None	None	None	None	None
No threats data available for this species							