Western Heather Vole (*Phenacomys intermedius*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 24, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments				
Rarity									
Range Extent	2024-09-24	Y: 157290.9 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None				
Area of Occupancy	2024-09-24	4320 4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None				
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Population Size			_		Factor not used in ranking.				
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Environmental Specificity	2018-05-03	Narrow	ow - MTNHP Species Rank Dat Table		Factor not used in ranking. Strong preference for dry forest with heather understory Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B				

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((3.93 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2)) / 3 = 4.52$

Trends									
Short-term Trend	2018-05-03		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. No data on trends available Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U				
Long-term Trend	2018-05-03		[-0.070, 0.070]	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Habitat is likely stable within +/- 25% since European settlement Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E				

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $(([-0.07, 0.07] \times 1)) = [-0.07, 0.07]$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Low/No Threats	5.500		Threats unknown.	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-05-03	Not intrinsically vulnerable	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table		Factor not used in ranking. Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has a high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance. Species has good dispersal capabilities such that e Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C	

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (5.50) = 5.50

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments			
No Threat Identified 2024-09-24 Low None None None None									
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 0 - Medium, 1 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Low/No Threats									

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(4.52 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(5.50 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: ([-0.07, 0.07]) = [4.74, 4.88]

Calculated Rank: S5

Accepted Rank	S5
Date Approved	2024-12-18
Approval Authority	MTNHP
Rank Justification	Species is uncommon in Mountainous areas, may be stable and faces no known threats

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMAFF10010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMAFF10010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	_					
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	General Status Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status			Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danier Constitu	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	ts Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
	•	Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Threats and trend are unknown.

Summary of Information Needs

As both threats and trend are poorly characterized and the species is somewhat habitat specific, monitoring would provide better data on these factors and a better assessment of status.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

No Threat Identified - 0 2024-09-24 None None None None None None	Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
	No Threat Identified - 0	2024-09-24	None	None	None	None	None	None