Varied Thrush (*Ixoreus naevius*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

December 5, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

| Rank Factor | Date Assessed | Value | Score | Data Source | Comments | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rarity | | | | | | | | |
| Range Extent | 2024-12-05 | S: 139976.9 km² | 3.930 | MTNHP Range Maps | None | | | |
| Area of Occupancy | 2024-12-05 | 8515 4km² cells | 4.810 | MTNHP Modeling | None | | | |
| Number of Occurrences | | | - | | Factor not used in ranking. | | | |
| Population Size | | | - | | Factor not used in ranking. | | | |
| # of Occurrences in Good Condition | | | - | | Factor not used in ranking. | | | |
| % of Area Occupied in Good Condition | | | - | | Factor not used in ranking. | | | |
| Environmental Specificity | 2011-12-21 | Narrow | - | MTNHP Species Rank Data Table | Factor not used in ranking. Narrow specialist. Relies on mature mesic forest types and riparian forests and often most abundant in areas with more closed canopy. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B | | | |
| Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: ((3.93 × 1) + (4.81 × 2)) / 3 = 4.52 | | | | | | | | |
| Trends | | | | | | | | |
| Short-term Trend | 2024-12-05 | | [-0.140, -0.070] | | None | | | |
| Long-term Trend | 2024-12-05 | | -0.070 | | None | | | |
| Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: (([-0.14, -0.07] × 2) + (-0.07 × 1)) = [-0.35, -0.21] | | | | | | | | |

Rarity and Trends

Threats

| Rank Factor | Date Assessed | Value | Score | Data Source | Comments | |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Threats | | | | | | |
| Overall Threat Impact | | High | 1.830 | | Fire, timber harvest, and insect outbreak related to climate change are the greatest threats to the species due to its reliance on mature mesic conifer forests. | |
| Intrinsic Vulnerability | 2011-12-21 | Not intrinsically vulnerable | - | MTNHP Species Rank Data Table | Factor not used in ranking. Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has a high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance. Species has good dispersal capabilities such that extirpated populations generally become reestablished through natural recolonization. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C | |
| Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (1.83) = 1.83 | | | | | | |

Individual Threats Data

| Threat Category | Date Assessed | Impact Score | Scope | Severity | Immediacy | Comments | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--|--|
| Climate Change & Severe Weather | 2024-12-05 | High | Pervasive | Serious | Moderate | Audubon's Survival by Degrees Project predicts a substantial loss of habitat under warming scenarios of >1.5C (>50%). | |
| Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 0 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High | | | | | | | |

*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (4.52 × 70%) + Threats: (1.83 × 30%) + Trends: ([-0.35, -0.21]) = [3.36, 3.50]

Calculated Rank: S3

| Accepted Rank | S3B | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Date Approved | 2012-04-17 | | | | |
| Approval Authority | Montana Species of Concern Committee | | | | |
| Rank Justification | Species is common to uncommon in mesic forests in western Montana. Populations appear to be declining at a substantial rate. It faces the threat of habitat loss due to climate change. | | | | |

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABPBJ22010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABPBJ22010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

| Rank | Rank Assessment | | Criteria | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Factor | Category | Value | Citeria | | | | |
| General Status Quality | | Adequate | Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3) | | | | |
| Status | Status Quality | Poor | Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5) | | | | |
| | | | Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species) | | | | |
| | Range Quality | Marginal | Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape | | | | |
| Rarity | | Poor | Range polygon not defined | | | | |
| | | Adequate | Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available) | | | | |
| | Habitat Quality | Marginal | Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate) | | | | |
| | | Poor | Species-habitat relationship is not well understood | | | | |
| | | Adequate | Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened") | | | | |
| Threats | Threat Quality | Marginal | Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium") | | | | |
| inreats | Threat Quality | Poor | Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed | | | | |
| | | Unknown | Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed | | | | |
| | | Current | Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old | | | | |
| | Recency | Out of Date but Adequate | Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened | | | | |
| | | Out of Date | Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old | | | | |
| | | Not Available | Short-term Trend data are not available | | | | |
| Trends | Trend Quality | Sufficient | Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing) | | | | |
| | | Unknown but Sufficient | Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened | | | | |
| | | Poor | Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected | | | | |
| | | Unknown | Short-term Trend is Unknown | | | | |

Summary of Information Availability

All data to assess status are available. Short-term trend estimate is adequate but could be improved.

Summary of Information Needs

All data to assess status are available. Short-term trend estimate is adequate but could be improved. Monitoring of existing populations should be conducted to assess short-term trend and threat impacts.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

| Threat Category | Date Assessed | Assessed By | Data Source | Scope | Severity | Imme- diacy | Comments |
|--|------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------|---|
| Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.1 - Habitat Shifting & Alteration | 2024-12-05 | Dan Bachen | Audubon Survival by Degrees | Pervasiv e | Serious | Moderat e | Audubon's Survival by Degrees Project predicts a substaintial loss of habitat under warming scenerios of >1.5C (>50%). |