

Trichophorum pumilum (Rolland's bulrush)

Conservation Status Rank Summary

Date Published: July 23, 2026

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: [Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species](#)

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value Factor Rating	Score	Data Source	Comments
Rarity					
Range Extent	2026-07-23	2000.0 km ² D = 1,000-5,000 km ²	2.360	MTNHP Databases, GIS Analysis	The available observations encompass a range of approximately 2,000 sq. km. The MTNHP range map over-estimates the range
Area of Occupancy	2026-07-23	8 4km ² cells D = 6-25 4-km ² grid cells	2.060	MTNHP Databases	The available observations occupy 8 grid cells.
Number of Occurrences	2026-07-23	8 B = 6 - 20	1.380	MTNHP Databases	The available observations group into 8 occurrences.
Population Size	2026-07-23	* EF = 2500 - 100,000 individuals	[3.140, 3.930]	MTNHP Databases	The data supports a minimum population size of several thousand plants. The Antelope Butte Fen population was estimated in 2004 to contain tens of thousands of stems, which likely represents several thousand plants. The species was listed as common or abundant at several other sites.
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2026-07-23	* C = Few (4-12) occurrences with excellent or good viability or ecological integrity	2.200	MTNHP Databases	At least 6 sites appear to be large and have good viability.
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Environmental Specificity	2025-03-01	Very narrow A = Very narrow; specialist or community with key requirements scarce	-	Expert Opinion	Factor not used in ranking. Assessed by Scott Mincemoyer
Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((2.36 \times 1) + (2.06 \times 2) + (1.38 \times 1) + ([3.14, 3.93] \times 2) + (2.20 \times 2)) / 8 = [2.32, 2.52]$					
Trends					
Short-term Trend	2026-07-23	* FG = Decline of 30% to relatively stable	[-0.070, 0.000]	Expert Opinion	Actual short-term trends are unknown. However, the habitat has been relatively stable in the recent past, so populations have likely been relatively stable or experienced only small declines.

Long-term Trend	2026-07-23	$\frac{*}{U = \text{Unknown}}$	-	Expert Opinion	Factor not used in ranking. Long-term population trends are unknown. Some declines may have occurred over the long term as habitat alteration and hydrological changes have impacted some of the species' habitat.
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $([[-0.07, 0.00] \times 2]) = [-0.14, 0.00]$					

*Values may be absent if not precisely estimated; factors may still be assessed for rank if a Factor Rating can be assigned.

Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value Factor Rating	Score	Data Source	Comments
Threats					
Overall Threat Impact		Low D = Low	5.500		No significant and widespread threats to the species are known. However, changes in hydrology from water use and diversion have the potential to negatively impact some populations. Climate change, including increased temperatures and increases in the frequency and severity of drought may negatively impact some populations though the magnitude and timing of impacts is uncertain. An assessment using the Climate Change Vulnerability Index (CCVI) in 2021 rated this species as "Highly Vulnerable" to climate change.
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2025-03-01	Moderately vulnerable to not intrinsically vulnerable BC = Moderately vulnerable to not intrinsically vulnerable	-	Expert Opinion	Factor not used in ranking. Assessed by Scott Mincemoyer
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (5.50) = 5.50					

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
No individual threats data used in this rank						

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $([2.32, 2.52] \times 70\%) + \text{Threats: } (5.50 \times 30\%) + \text{Trends: } ([-0.14, 0.00]) = [3.13, 3.41]$

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3
Author(s)	Scott Mincemoyer
Rank Approved By	Montana Natural Heritage Program Staff
State Rank Reason	DRAFT: Requesting feedback on the 2026 revised rank, factors, and State Rank Reason outlined below and in the Conservation Status Rank Report. <i>Trichophorum pumilum</i> is rare in Montana, where it is known from approximately 8 populations along the Rocky Mountain Front. Several of these sites occur on lands managed for their conservation value. No significant and widespread threats to the species are known, besides potential impacts associated with climate change. However, changes in hydrology from water use and diversion have the potential to negatively impact some populations. Population levels are imprecisely known, though at least several occurrences appear to consist of large populations. Trends are unknown though populations have likely been relatively stable in the recent past or experienced only minor declines. Climate change may negatively affect this species over the next several decades. However, the timing and magnitude of potential impacts are largely unknown. Although climate change is listed as a potential threat to the species, it does not affect the overall threat rank or scoring, or the final subnational rank. Survey and monitoring data are needed to be able to more precisely determine population levels, assess condition and for assessing population trends. Surveys in potentially suitable habitat may document additional occurrences.

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

<https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=PMCYP0Q250>

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

<https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=PMCYP0Q250>

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Factor	Assessment Category	Value	Criteria
General Status	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)
		Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)
Rarity	Range Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)
		Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape
		Poor	Range polygon not defined
	Habitat Quality	Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)
		Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood
Threats	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")
		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")
		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed
Trends	Recency	Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old
		Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown

Summary of Information Availability

Summary of Information Needs

Survey and monitoring data are needed to be able to more precisely determine population levels, assess condition and for assessing population trends. Surveys in potentially suitable habitat may document additional occurrences.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Natural System Modifications - 7	2026-07-23	S. Mincemoyer	Expert Opinion	Large	Serious-Slight	Unknown	Changes in hydrology as a result of water use and diversion could negatively impact some populations though this is not known to be occurring at the present time.
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11	2026-07-23	None	None	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Climate change, including increased temperatures and increases in the frequency and severity of drought may negatively impact some populations though the magnitude and timing of impacts is uncertain. An assessment using the Climate Change Vulnerability Index (CCVI) in 2021 rated this species as "Highly Vulnerable" to climate change.