Swift Fox (*Vulpes velox*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

December 15, 2023

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments	
Rarity						
Range Extent	2023-12-15	Y: 264068.6 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None	
Area of Occupancy	2025-01-30	3706 4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None	
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Population Size	2023-12-15	450	1.570	N. Hussey pers. comm	general estimate of 350-500 definitely less than 1000 more than 250	
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Environmental Specificity	2025-01-16	Moderate	-		Factor not used in ranking.	

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $(4.71 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2) + (1.57 \times 2)) / 5 = 3.49$

Trends								
Short-term Trend	2023-12-15	115.0%	0.070	FWP	Appears to be increasing.			
Long-term Trend	2023-12-15		-0.220		The species has undergone significant declines since the late 1800's. Poisoning campaigns to eliminate wolves and coyotes are thought to be a driver of this decline. Loss of native prairie habitat has also contributed to population reductions.			

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((0.07 \times 2) + (-0.22 \times 1)) = -0.08$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		None	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2025-01-16	Not intrinsically vulnerable	- Factor not used in ranking.		Factor not used in ranking.	

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (3.67) = 3.67

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2025-01-30	Medium	Large	Moderate	High	Loss of native prairie habitat through conversion to agriculture. Species habitat has significant overlaps with areas predicted to be vulnerable to row-crop conversion.
Energy Production & Mining	2025-01-30	Low	Small	Slight	Moderate	Loss of native habitat due to oil and gas development
Transportation & Service Corridors	2025-01-30	Low	Large	Slight	High	Mortality on roads

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 1 - Medium, 2 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(3.49 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(3.67 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (-0.08) = 3.47

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3
Date Approved	2024-09-30
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee
Rank Justification	

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMAJA03030

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMAJA03030

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment		Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value					
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quanty	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
			Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
			Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
Trends		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
		Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
	Trend Quality	Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

All data are generally available.

Summary of Information Needs

As the species is increasing, precise and current trend data within the last few years would likely increase the rank and result in removal from the SOC list.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.1 - Annual & Perennial Non-Timber Crops	2025-01-30	Dan Bachen	WWF Plowprint tool, MTNHP Data	Large	Moderate	High	Loss of native prairie habitat through conversion to agriculture. Species habitat has significant overlaps with areas predicted to be vulnerable to row-crop conversion.
Energy Production & Mining - 3.1 - Oil & Gas Drilling	2025-01-30	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Small	Slight	Moderat e	Loss of native habitat due to oil and gas development
Transportation & Service Corridors - 4.1 - Roads & Railroads	2025-01-30	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Large	Slight	High	Mortality on roads