# **Spotted Bat (***Euderma maculatum***) Conservation Status Rank Summary**

May 13, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

## **Rarity and Trends**

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments	
Rarity						
Range Extent	2024-01-17	S: 237576.0 km²	5.0 km <sup>2</sup> 4.710 Ran Ma		None	
Area of Occupancy			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Number of Occurrences	2024-01-18	79	2.750	MTNHP data	79 SOs in the MTNHP database. Some overlap so likely fewer actual occurrences	
Population Size			-	- Factor not used in ranking.		
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-05-13		4.400	4.400 Expert Cliff habitat is in good condition and to degradation		
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Environmental Specificity	2018-09-25 Narrow		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Narrow Specialist. Species is dependent on large cliff habitats for roosting which are relatively rare on the landscape.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: B	

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores:  $(4.71 \times 1) + (2.75 \times 1) + (4.40 \times 2)) / 4 = 4.07$ 

Trends									
Short-term Trend	2024-01-18		-		Factor not used in ranking. Species is not observed often enough to determine trend, but at sites where it is more common it continues to be observed				
Long-term Trend			-		Factor not used in ranking.				

No trend data used in ranking this species

## **Threats**

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Low/No Threats	5.500		Roost site disturbance and pesticide application probably represent threats to the species.	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-09-25 Moderately vulnerable		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Moderately Vulnerable. Species exhibits moderate age of maturity, frequency of reproduction, and/or fecundity such that populations generally tend to recover from decreases in abundance within 5- 20 years or 2-5 generations. Species has good dispersal ca   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: B	

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (5.50) = 5.50

## **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
No Threat Identified	2024-01-18	Low	None	None	None	Roost habitat is remote and unlikely to be impacted by natural or anthropogenic factors.

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 0 - Medium, 1 - Low Overall Threat Impact\* = Low/No Threats

<sup>\*</sup>See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

## **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity:  $(4.07 \times 70\%)$  + Threats:  $(5.50 \times 30\%)$  + Trends: (0.00) = 4.50

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4
Date Approved	2024-09-30
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee
Rank Justification	Species is uncommon, but widely distributed across Montana. No threats are known, but much about the species ecology is unknown.

## **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMACC07010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMACC07010

## **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment		Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value					
General	General Status Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status			Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danas Qualitu	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

## **Summary of Information Availability**

Information to assess status are generally available, but trend is unknown.

#### **Summary of Information Needs**

Species is well-suited to acoustic monitoring and data to assess trend have been collected. Acoustic monitoring should continue, and analysis of these data should be prioritized to determine trend.

## **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
No Threat Identified - 0.5 - Unknown/Undetermined Threat	2024-01-18	None	None	None	None	None	Roost habitat is remote and unlikely to be impacted by natural or anthropogenic factors.