Silver-haired Bat (*Lasionycteris noctivagans*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 12, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2024-05-13	Y: 380530.8 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2024-05-13	5546 4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None			
Number of Occurrences	2024-05-13		5.500		None			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-05-13		0.000		None			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity			1		Factor not used in ranking.			

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $(4.71 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2) + (5.50 \times 1) + (0.00 \times 2) / 6 = 3.31$

Trends								
Short-term Trend	2018-05-03		0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	No positive or negative trend is apparent in recent capture or mist net data, so species is likely stable within +/- 10%. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E			
Long-term Trend			-		Factor not used in ranking.			

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((0.00 \times 2)) = 0.00$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Threats					
Overall Threat Impact		High	1.830		This species has been shown to be among those commonly killed at wind farms. The population effects of these mortalities have not been established, but they have the potential to cause severe declines given the species relatively low fecundity.
Intrinsic Vulnerability			-		Factor not used in ranking.

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (1.83) = 1.83

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments		
Energy Production & Mining	2024-09-12	High	Large	Serious	High	Species is commonly killed at wind energy facilities during fall migration. The ultimate impacts are unknown but declines are likely		
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 0 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High								

^{*}See Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(3.31 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(1.83 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (0.00) = 2.86

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3				
Date Approved	2024-09-30				
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee				
Rank Justification	Species is common within forested areas of Montana. Significant but poorly characterized levels of mortality have been observed at wind energy facilities during the fall migration period. As the number of facilities increases, impacts and declines are increasingly likely.				

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMACC02010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMACC02010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment		Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value					
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Dan za Ovalitu	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
Trends		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
		Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
	Trend Quality	Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Information to assess status are generally available, but trend is unknown.

Summary of Information Needs

Species is well-suited to acoustic monitoring and data to assess trend have been collected. Acoustic monitoring should continue, and analysis of these data should be prioritized to determine trend.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Energy Production & Mining - 3.3 - Renewable Energy	2024-09-12	Dan Bachen	FWP 2018; Expert Opinion	Large	Serious	High	Species is commonly killed at wind energy facilities during fall migration. The ultimate impacts are unknown but declines are likely