Sand Shiner (*Notropis stramineus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

March 6, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score Data Source		Comments		
Rarity							
Range Extent	2024-02-20	Y: 148793.7 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None		
Area of Occupancy	2024-03-06	9260 1km² cells	4.130	MTFWP Fish Distributio n Layer	km from MT Fish Distribution Layer		
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.		

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((3.93 \times 1) + (4.13 \times 2)) / 3 = 4.06$

Trends									
Short-term Trend	2024-02-20	9.0%	0.000	FWP (unpublish ed) and Stuart (unpublish ed)	None				
Long-term Trend	2024-02-20	100.0%	0.140		Found to be increasing in Wyoming in the 1990s compared to the 1960s (Patton et al. 1998). Major increases in the Powder River basin, MT of approximately 300% (Clancy et al. in review). Powder River trends may be especially high, but they are probably increasing across their range.				

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((0.00 \times 2) + (0.14 \times 1)) = 0.14$

Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score Data Source		Comments		
Threats							
Overall Threat Impact		Low/No Threats	5.500		None		
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2024-03-06	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	Expert Opinion	Factor not used in ranking, speices breeds at a young age and produces many young and disperses well		
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (5.50) = 5.50							

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments		
No individual threats data used in ranking this species								

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(4.06 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(5.50 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (0.14) = 4.63

Calculated Rank: S5

Accepted Rank	S5	
Date Approved	2025-02-03	
Approval Authority Montana Natural Heritage Program Staff		
Rank Justification Species is common and secure and faces few substantial threats		

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AFCJB28930

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AFCJB28930

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Factor	Assessment Category	Value	Criteria
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)
Status	Status Quanty	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)
	Dan an Ovalita	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")
Threats	Throat Ouglity	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown

Summary of Information Availability

None

Summary of Information Needs

None

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Natural System Modifications - 7.2 - Dams & Water Management/Use	2024-02-20	Niall Clancy	None	Negligible	Serious	High	Rosenthal (2007) found some culverts to act as barriers to Sand Shiner abundance. Quantifying this threat is difficult, but my best guess is that it leads to substantial declines in only a limited number of locations.
No threats data available for this species							