Sagebrush Sparrow (*Artemisiospiza nevadensis*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

December 4, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Rarity						
Range Extent	2024-10-07	S: 56613.3 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None	
Area of Occupancy	2024-10-07	250 4km² cells	3.440	MTNHP Modeling	None	
Number of Occurrences	2024-10-07	87	4.130	MTNHP Databases	None	
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Environmental Specificity	2018-05-01	Narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Requires continuous stands of sagebrush or sagebrush/ saltbush to breed Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B	

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((3.93 \times 1) + (3.44 \times 2) + (4.13 \times 1)) / 4 = 3.74$

Trends								
Short-term Trend	2024-10-07	-	Expert Opinion	Factor not used in ranking. High quality data on trend are not available from IMBCR or BBS				
Long-term Trend	2024-10-07	-0.070	Expert Opinion	Sagebrush habitats have been fragmented and coverage has declined in Montana since European settlement, but it is unlikely that these declines would have resulted in > 25% decline in total population or habitat.				

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((-0.07 \times 1)) = -0.07$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		High	1.830		None	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	Not intrinsically		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Species breeds annually and has the ability to disperse to suitable patches of habitat, although documentation of breeding in Montana is infrequent. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C	

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (1.83) = 1.83

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments		
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2024-10-07	Low	Restricted	Moderate	High	Although the species responds positively to manage grazing, threat of loss of sagebrush due to clearing for grazing and conversion to row crops is a threat		
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases	2024-10-07	High	Pervasive	Serious	High	Cheat Grass (Bromus tectorum) predicted habitat suitability models show a high degree of overlap between the breeding range of sagebrush sparrow. As cheat grass can reduce sagebrush coverage through altering fire dynamics of these systems, the scope and severity of habitat loss through this mechanism is relatively high		
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 0 - Medium, 1 - Low								

Overall Threat Impact* = High

^{*}See Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(3.74 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(1.83 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (-0.07) = 3.09

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3B
Date Approved	Date Unknown
Approval Authority	Legacy Assessment: MTNHP Staff
Rank Justification	Species is rare across the state outside of several breeding populations in southern Montana. Short-term trend is unknown and it faces threats from habitat loss due to invasive annual grasses and degradation of sagebrush habitat due to ranching.

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABPBX97040

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABPBX97040

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Rank Assessment		A 11. 1				
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Dan za Ovalitu	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
		Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
	Trend Quality	Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Data to assess species status are generally available, but short-term trend is not.

Summary of Information Needs

General avian monitoring programs are insufficient to characterize population changes for this species. Species specific monitoring is needed to determine population trend and explore impacts of threats.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.3 - Livestock Farming & Ranching	2024-10-07	Dan Bachen	Expert opinion; Shroeder 2020	Restricte d	Moderate	High	Although the species responds positivly to manage grazing, threat of loss of sagebrush due to clearing for grazing and conversion to row crops is a threat
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases - 8.1 - Invasive Non-Native/Alien Species/Diseases	2024-10-07	Dan Bachen	MTNHP predicted habitat suitability models; expert opinion	Pervasiv e	Serious	High	Cheat Grass (Bromus tectorum) predicted habitat suitability models show a high degree of overlap between the breeding range of sagebrush sparrow. As cheat grass can reduce sagebrush coverage through altering fire dynamics of these systems, the scope and severity of habitat loss through this mechanism is relativly high