Sage Thrasher (*Oreoscoptes montanus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

December 4, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments				
Rarity									
Range Extent	2024-12-04	S: 333860.1 km²	: 333860.1 km ² 4.710 MTNHP Range None Maps		None				
Area of Occupancy	2024-12-04	12325 4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None				
Number of Occurrences	2024-12-04	794	5.500	MTNHP Databases	None				
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-12-04		3.300		None				
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Environmental Specificity	2018-05-03	Narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Found in association with sagebrush dominated shrublands Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B				

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $(4.71 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2) + (5.50 \times 1) + (3.30 \times 2) / 6 = 4.41$

Trends									
Short-term Trend	2023-12-20	-15.3%	-0.070	IMBCR	IMBCR trend in population estimates for Montana. "-Point Estimate"				
Long-term Trend	2009-01-30		-0.140	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Sagebrush cover drastically altered in Montana since European arrival. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: D				

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((-0.07 \times 2) + (-0.14 \times 1)) = -0.28$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Threats					
Overall Threat Impact		High	1.830		Loss of sagebrush habitat
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-05-03	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Species is quick to mature and is moderately fecund, also has the ability to disperse between habitat patches Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (1.83) = 1.83

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2024-12-04	Medium	Large	Moderate	High	Removal of sagebrush to promote grazing and degradation of sagebrush ecosystems.
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-12-04	High	Pervasive	Serious	Moderate	Audubon's Survival by Degrees project shows a significant loss of habitat (>50%) across all warming scenarios.

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 1 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(4.41 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(1.83 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (-0.28) = 3.35

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3B				
Date Approved	2004-07-01				
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee				
Rank Justification	Species is uncommon to common in sagebrush steppe across southwest, central, and eastern Montana. It is currently declining in abundance and faces significant threats from habitat loss due to climate change and removal or degradation of sagebrush to promote cattle grazing.				

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABPBK04010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABPBK04010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Rank Assessment		<u> </u>				
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	5 O 10		Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Data to assess species status are generally available.

Summary of Information Needs

No additional data are needed at this time, but given the high level of threats for this species, monitoring should continue.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date	Assessed	Data	Scope	Severity	Imme-	Comments
Tilleat Category	Assessed	Ву	Source			diacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.3 - Livestock Farming & Ranching	2024-12-04	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Large	Moderate	High	Removal of sagebrush to promote grazing and degredation of sagebrush ecosystems.
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11	2024-12-04	Dan Bachen	Audubon Survival by Degrees	Pervasiv e	Serious	Moderat e	Audubon's Survival by Degrees project shows a significant loss of habitat (>50%) across all warming scenerios.