Rocky Mountain Tailed Frog (*Ascaphus montanus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

March 15, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Value Value		Score	Data Source	Comments
Rarity					
Range Extent	2023-12-19		3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None
Area of Occupancy	2023-12-19	1428 4km² cells	4.130	MTNHP Modeling	None
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.
	Rarity	v is calculated by a ((3.93 × 1)	averaging v + (4.13 × 2))		tor scores:
Trends					
Short-term Trend	2018-05-03		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. To date surveys have not been conducted with enough frequency to determine trend Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U
Long-term Trend	2018-05-03		[-0.070, 0.070]	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Although forest management practices and fire regimes have changed over the last century it is unlikely that the amount of habitat for this species has changed more than 25%. Loss of old growth timber may have contributed to a reduction in suitable habitat, but the extent of the impact on this species is unknown. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E
Tren	ds score is calo		ng weighte 07] × 1)) = [-		long-term trend scores:

Rarity and Trends

Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed Value		Score	Data Source	Comments			
Threats								
Overall Threat Impact		High - medium	[1.830, 3.670]		None			
Intrinsic Vulnerability			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: ([1.83, 3.67]) = [1.83, 3.67]								

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2023-12-19	High - Medium	Large- Restricted	Extreme	Moderate	over 50% of species habitat projected to be lost due to warming water temperatures in the next 50 years	
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, [0,1] - High, [0,1] - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High - medium							

*See Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (4.06 × 70%) + Threats: ([1.83, 3.67] × 30%) + Trends: ([-0.07, 0.07]) = [3.32, 4.02]

Calculated Rank: S3S4

Accepted Rank	\$3\$4					
Date Approved	2024-09-30					
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee					
Rank JustificationSpecies is relatively common within appropriate habitat and found acr Montana, but is facing habitat loss from warming water temperatures.						

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AAABA01020

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AAABA01020

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Rank Assessment		Cuitoria				
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danas Qualita	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
meats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
Trends		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Species is well documented across its range, but monitoring data to inform trend is not available. Climate impacts are likely but the impact is poorly defined.

Summary of Information Needs

Monitoring a representative sample of occupied sites will allow documentation of trend. Further exploration of potential climate impacts will reduce uncertainty in the Threats score.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.3 - Temperature Extremes	2023-12-19	None	Clancy in prep	Large- Restricte d	Extreme	Moderat e	over 50% of species habitat projected to be lost due to warming water temperatures in the next 50 years