# River Carpsucker (*Carpiodes carpio*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

March 5, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2024-03-05	Y: 86735.1 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2024-03-05	10577   1km² cells	4.810	MT Fish distribution Layer	From FWP Fish distribution layer			
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
<b>Population Size</b>			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: ( (3.93 × 1) + (4.81 × 2) ) / 3 = 4.52								
Trends	Trends							
Short-term Trend	lort-term Trend 2024-03-05 [-10.0, 0.0%] [-0.070, 0.000]		BLM survey data, FishMT Survey and Inventory Data	BLM survey data, FishMT Survey and Inventory Data accessed 2/1/2024 Appear to be stable to slight decrease in the Yellowstone and Missouri rivers, slight decrease in smaller tributaries, stable in reservoirs, increasing in Musselshell				
Long-term Trend	Long-term Trend - Factor not used in ranking.							
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: ( ([-0.07, 0.00] × 2) ) = [-0.14, 0.00]								

# **Rarity and Trends**

### Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments			
Threats								
Overall Threat Impact		Low/No Threats	5.500		None			
Intrinsic Vulnerability			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (5.50) = 5.50								

#### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Natural System Modifications	2024-03-05	Low	Restricted	Slight	High	Loss of backwater habitat due to channel incision and flow regulation from dams may impact RC SU in MT (Wilhite and Hubert 2011).	
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases	2024-03-05	Low	Pervasive	Slight	High	Carp overlap 100% of RC SU range, carp are benthic omnivores too and may compete for the same food, carp alter substrate and uproot vegetation (Carp in North America) which may interfere with spawning as RC SU deposit eggs on vegetation (Fishes of MT)	
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-03-05	Low	Pervasive	Slight	Moderate	Drought years can reduce number of backwater habitats which are commonly used (Fishes of MT) and lose connectivity to smaller tributaries. Should be fairly resilient as this species has been found in multiple habitat types (Fishes of MT, Fishes of Missouri)	
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 0 - Medium, 3 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Low/No Threats							

\*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.</u>

### **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity: (4.52 × 70%) + Threats: (5.50 × 30%) + Trends: ([-0.14, 0.00]) = [4.67, 4.81]

Calculated Rank: S5

Accepted Rank	S5					
Date Approved	2025-02-03					
Approval Authority Montana Natural Heritage Program Staff						
Rank Justification	Species is widely distributed and secure.					

# **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana\_State\_Rank\_Criteria\_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AFCJC01010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AFCJC01010

# **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	Value	Criteria			
Factor	Category	value	Criteria			
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)			
Status		Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)			
	Range Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)			
		Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape			
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined			
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)			
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)			
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood			
	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")			
Threats		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")			
meats		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed			
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed			
	Recency	Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old			
		Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened			
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old			
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available			
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)			
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened			
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected			
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown			

Summary of Information Availability None

Summary of Information Needs None

# **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Natural System Modifications - 7.2 - Dams & Water Management/Use	2024-03-05	Christina Stuart	Wilhite and Hubert 2011	Restricted	Slight	High	Loss of backwater habitat due to channel incision and flow regulation from dams may impact RC SU in MT (Wilhite and Hubert 2011).
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases - 8.1 - Invasive Non-Native/Alien Species/Diseases	2024-03-05	Christina Stuart	Fishes of Montana	Pervasive	Slight	High	Carp overlap 100% of RC SU range, carp are benthic omnivores too and may compete for the same food, carp alter substrate and uproot vegetation (Carp in North America) which may interfere with spawning as RC SU deposit eggs on vegetation (Fishes of MT)
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.2 - Droughts	2024-03-05	Christina Stuart	None	Pervasive	Slight	Moderat e	Drought years can reduce number of backwater habitats which are commonly used (Fishes of MT) and lose connectivity to smaller tributaries. Should be fairly resilient as this species has been found in multiple habitat types (Fishes of MT, Fishes of Missouri)