# Redside Shiner (*Richardsonius balteatus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

March 6, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

| Rank Factor Date Assessed  |            | Value             | Score | Data<br>Source   | Comments   |  |  |  |
|--|------------|-------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rarity   |            |                   |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Range Extent   |            |                   | -     |  | Factor not used in ranking.  |  |  |  |
| Area of Occupancy  | 2024-03-06 | 2659   1km² cells | 4.130 | MTFWP<br>Fish<br>Distributio<br>n layer  | km from MT Fish Distribution layer   |  |  |  |
| Number of<br>Occurrences   |            |                   | -     |  | Factor not used in ranking.  |  |  |  |
| Population Size  |            |                   | -     |  | Factor not used in ranking.  |  |  |  |
| # of Occurrences in<br>Good Condition  |            |                   | -     |  | Factor not used in ranking.  |  |  |  |
| % of Area Occupied<br>in Good Condition  |            |                   | -     |  | Factor not used in ranking.  |  |  |  |
| Environmental<br>Specificity   |            |                   | -     |  | Factor not used in ranking.  |  |  |  |
| Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores:<br>( (4.13 × 2) ) / 2 = 4.13 |            |                   |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trends   |            |                   |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term Trend   | 2024-02-20 | 0.0%              | 0.000 |  | There are very little data available, even less that<br>is summarized or collected in a consistent<br>manner over time. Flathead Lake netting by FWP<br>is the best dataset. Fall netting shows a slight<br>decline over past 10 years but not significant<br>(R2=0.6). The same nets aren't set each year.<br>Would not put a lot of stock in this data unless it<br>showed a very significant trend. Observations in<br>MNHP database have been stable but variable<br>over past 30 years with a slight increasing trend<br>(not significant) over the past ten years. Redside<br>shiner populations on cabinet gorge and Noxon<br>reservoirs may have declined but data is sparse<br>and not high enough quality to get a reliable<br>trend from (Jasaon Blakney personal<br>communication. |  |  |  |
| Long-term Trend 2024-02-20 0.0%  |            | 0.000             |       | Very little historical data collected consistently.<br>Data available FWP and MNHP does not show a<br>significant increase or decrease. It is likely that<br>predation from non-native predators that have |  |  |  |  |

# **Rarity and Trends**

|  |  |  | been introduced at various time over the past<br>century have severely impacted some<br>populations. It is also possible warming water<br>has favored redside shiners in some waterbodies<br>and expanded range. |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores:<br>( (0.00 × 2) + (0.00 × 1) ) = 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

L

# Threats

| Rank Factor  | Date<br>Assessed | Value  | Score | Data<br>Source    | Comments  |  |  |
|--|------------------|--|-------|-------------------|---|--|--|
| Threats  |                  |  |       |                   |   |  |  |
| Overall Threat<br>Impact   |                  |  | -     |                   | Factor not used in ranking.   |  |  |
| Intrinsic<br>Vulnerability   | 2024-02-20       | Not intrinsically 5.500 Expert<br>vulnerable 5.500 Opinior |       | Expert<br>Opinion | Species reproduces annually and is prolific and has ability to disperse within habiat |  |  |
| Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not:<br>(5.50) = 5.50 |                  |  |       |                   |   |  |  |

#### Individual Threats Data

| Threat Category   | Date<br>Assessed | Impact<br>Score | Scope | Severity | Immediacy | Comments |  |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|--|
| No individual threats data used in ranking this species |                  |                 |       |          |           |          |  |

### **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity: (4.13 × 70%) + Threats: (5.50 × 30%) + Trends: (0.00) = 4.54

Calculated Rank: S5

| Accepted Rank      | S5  |
|--------------------|---|
| Date Approved      | 2025-02-03  |
| Approval Authority | Montana Natural Heritage Program Staff  |
| Rank Justification | Species is distributed across western Montana and populations appear to be stable.<br>Threats are poorly understood |

# **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana\_State\_Rank\_Criteria\_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AFCJB39010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AFCJB39010

# **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

| Rank                | Assessment      | Malua                       | Criteria  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Factor              | Category        | value                       |   |  |  |  |
| General             | Status Quality  | Adequate                    | Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)  |  |  |  |
| Status              |                 | Poor                        | Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)  |  |  |  |
|                     | Range Quality   | Adequate                    | Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species) |  |  |  |
|                     |                 | Marginal                    | Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not<br>occur on the landscape  |  |  |  |
| Rarity              |                 | Poor                        | Range polygon not defined   |  |  |  |
| -                   |                 | Adequate                    | Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)   |  |  |  |
|                     | Habitat Quality | Marginal                    | Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats<br>(e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only<br>somewhat adequate)                       |  |  |  |
|                     |                 | Poor                        | Species-habitat relationship is not well understood   |  |  |  |
|                     | Threat Quality  | Adequate                    | Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")  |  |  |  |
| Throats             |                 | Marginal                    | Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")  |  |  |  |
| meats               |                 | Poor                        | Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed  |  |  |  |
|                     |                 | Unknown                     | Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed  |  |  |  |
|                     | Recency         | Current                     | Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old   |  |  |  |
| Trends <sup>–</sup> |                 | Out of Date but<br>Adequate | Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened  |  |  |  |
|                     |                 | Out of Date                 | Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old   |  |  |  |
|                     |                 | Not Available               | Short-term Trend data are not available   |  |  |  |
|                     | Trend Quality   | Sufficient                  | Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)  |  |  |  |
|                     |                 | Unknown but<br>Sufficient   | Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened  |  |  |  |
|                     |                 | Poor                        | Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected  |  |  |  |
|                     |                 | Unknown                     | Short-term Trend is Unknown   |  |  |  |

Summary of Information Availability None

Summary of Information Needs None

# **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

| Threat Catagomy   | Date       | Assessed         | Data   | Seene     | Severity | Imme- | Commonto  |
|---|------------|------------------|--------|-----------|----------|-------|---|
| Threat Category   | Assessed   | Ву               | Source | scope     |          | diacy | Comments  |
| Invasive & Other<br>Problematic Species,<br>Genes & Diseases - 8.1 -<br>Invasive Non-Native/Alien<br>Species/Diseases | 2024-02-20 | Caleb<br>Uerling | None   | Pervasive | Unknown  | High  | Redside shiner is a forage fish for<br>many native and non-native species.<br>Redside shiners are prolific in both<br>lentic and lotic systems in their<br>native range in Montana. Many of<br>these habitats have already been<br>invaded by non-natives but future<br>additional introductions are possible.<br>For instance pike, bass, and perch<br>are prevalent in many waterbodies,<br>but habitat is likely suitable for<br>walleye and other species. Based on<br>limited data non-native sportfish<br>populations do not seem to be<br>having a significant impact on<br>Redside shiner populations right<br>now, but as predator populations<br>expand this could become an issue.<br>(Johnson et al. 2023 and Scarnecchia<br>et al. 2014)                                 |
| Climate Change & Severe<br>Weather - 11.1 - Habitat<br>Shifting & Alteration  | 2024-02-20 | Caleb<br>Uerling | None   | Pervasive | Unknown  | High  | The Redside shiner is a cold water<br>species that has an optimum<br>temperature that is on the upper<br>end of optimum temperatures for<br>other cold water species (i.e.<br>salmonids). Warming water<br>temperatures will likely favor<br>Redside shiners by expanding habitat<br>range in some areas while reducing<br>available habitat in many habitats<br>that are currently marginal from a<br>thermal perspective. Johnson et al.<br>2023 completed a bioenergetics<br>model for Redside shiners over<br>native salmonids. Optimum growth<br>temperature is 18C. However they<br>also found the optimum range to be<br>relatively small, so while warming<br>cold water habitats may favor<br>Redside shiner, currently marginal<br>habitats may quickly become too<br>warm. |