# Red-headed Woodpecker (*Melanerpes erythrocephalus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 23, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score Data Source		Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2024-12-03	S: 204303.9 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2024-12-03	2213   4km <sup>2</sup> cells	4.130	MTNHP Modeling	None			
Number of Occurrences	2025-01-23	[6, 10]	1.380	MTNHP Data	approximately 6-10 discreete breeding areas			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity	2011-12-21	Narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Narrow specialist. Species nests in large trees in riparian and Ponderosa pine forests.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: B			
Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: ( (4.71 × 1) + (4.13 × 2) + (1.38 × 1) ) / 4 = 3.59								
Trends								
Short-term Trend	2023-12-20	4.1%	0.000	IMBCR	IMBCR trend in population estimates for Bird Conservation Region 17. "-Point Estimate"			
Long-term Trend 2011-12-21		0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Over past 200 years this species has undergone large fluctuations in abundance though to be caused by the availability of key food resources and habitat alteration. Riparian areas and forests that the species rely on have been impacted since European arrival, but within Montana the species is probably stable within +/-25%.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: E				
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: ( (0.00 × 2) + (0.00 × 1) ) = 0.00								

# **Rarity and Trends**

# Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score Data Source		Comments			
Threats								
Overall Threat Impact		High	1.830		Habitat loss, altered hydrology, and timber harvest are probably the greatest threats to the species. Species was historically shot for brilliant red plumage and because they were considered an agricultural pest and did damage to utility poles. Vehicle			
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2011-12-21	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has a high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance. Species has good dispersal capabilities such that extirpated populations generally become reestablished through natural recolonization.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: C			
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (1.83) = 1.83								

#### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2025-01-23	Medium	Restricted	Serious	High	Habitat loss due to conversion of riparian forest to agriculture.	
Natural System Modifications	2025-01-23	High	Large	Serious	High	Although fire at low to moderate severity may benefit the species through creation of snags, high intensity fires in southeast Montana have eliminated forests in some areas and regeneration is slow to occur if it occurs at all.	
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 1 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High							

\*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

## **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity: (3.59 × 70%) + Threats: (1.83 × 30%) + Trends: (0.00) = 3.06

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3B				
Date Approved	2001-08-01				
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee				
Rank Justification	Species is uncommon across eastern Montana in forested environments. It appears stable but it facing threats from habitat loss due to fire and conversion of riparian forest to agriculture.				

## **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State\_Rank\_Criteria\_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNYF04040

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNYF04040

# **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	Mahua	Critoria				
Factor	Category	value	Cinella				
General Status	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
		Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Range Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
		Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
_		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
Threate	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inteats		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

<u>Summary of Information Availability</u> Information to assess status is available

Summary of Information Needs No further information is needed

# **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.1 - Annual & Perennial Non-Timber Crops	2025-01-23	None	Expert Opinion	Restricte d	Serious	High	Habitat loss due to conversion of riparian forest to agriculture.
Natural System Modifications - 7.1 - Fire & Fire Suppression	2025-01-23	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Large	Serious	High	Although fire at low to moderate severity may benefit the species through creation of snags, high intensity fires in southeast Montana have eliminated forests in some areas and regeneration is slow to occur if it occurs at all.
	1	1	1	1	1	1	occurs at an.