# Prairie Vole (*Microtus ochrogaster*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 24, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

## **Rarity and Trends**

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2024-09-24	Y: 266623.9 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Number of Occurrences			_		Factor not used in ranking.			
<b>Population Size</b>			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			_		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity	2018-05-03	Moderate	3.670	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Found across diverse grassland habitats including sagebrush   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: C			

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores:  $((4.71 \times 1) + (3.67 \times 1)) / 2 = 4.19$ 

Trends								
Short-term Trend	2018-05-03		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. No data on trends available   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: U			
Long-term Trend	2018-05-03		[-0.070, 0.000]	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Habitat is likely stable within +/- 25% since European settlement   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: E			

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores:  $(([-0.07, 0.00] \times 1)) = [-0.07, 0.00]$ 

## **Threats**

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Medium 3.670			Loss of sagebrush habitat through fire, resource extraction, exotic plant invasion, or conversion to agriculture	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-05-03	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has a high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance. Species has good dispersal capabilities such that e   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: C	

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (3.67) = 3.67

## **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments		
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2024-09-24	Medium	Restricted	Serious	High	Conversion of native habitats to row- crops		
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 1 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium								

<sup>\*</sup>See Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

## **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity:  $(4.19 \times 70\%)$  + Threats:  $(3.67 \times 30\%)$  + Trends: ([-0.07, 0.00]) = [3.96, 4.03]

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4
Date Approved	2024-12-18
Approval Authority	MTNHP
Rank Justification	Species is common within native prairie and steppe habitats. It is secure but facing the threat of habitat loss due to conversion of habitat to agriculture

# **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMAFF11140

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMAFF11140

## **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment						
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	General Status Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status			Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
			Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

## **Summary of Information Availability**

Data to assess status are generally available but short-term trend is not.

#### **Summary of Information Needs**

As species has high threats, periodic monitoring of populations to determine trend and assess threat impacts would benefit assessment of status.

## **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.1 - Annual & Perennial Non-Timber Crops	2024-09-24	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Restricte d	Serious	High	Conversion of native habitats to row- crops