Prairie Rattlesnake (*Crotalus viridis*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 28, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species

Rarity and Trends

Date Assessed	Value	Score		Comments				
Rarity								
2025-01-28	Y: 343961.6 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None				
		-		Factor not used in ranking.				
		-		Factor not used in ranking.				
		-		Factor not used in ranking.				
		-		Factor not used in ranking.				
		-		Factor not used in ranking.				
2018-05-03	Moderate	3.670	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Found in association with a diversity of habitat types provided adequate cover exists Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C				
	Assessed 2025-01-28	Assessed Value 2025-01-28 Y: 343961.6 km²	Assessed Value Score 2025-01-28 Y: 343961.6 km² 4.710	Assessed Value Score Source 2025-01-28 Y: 343961.6 km² 4.710 MTNHP Range Maps				

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((4.71 \times 1) + (3.67 \times 1)) / 2 = 4.19$

Trends				
Short-term Trend	2018-05-03	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. No data on trends available Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U
Long-term Trend	2025-01-28	-0.070		None

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((-0.07 \times 1)) = -0.07$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		Human persecution/ development of area occupied by this species, mortality on roads due to vehicles.	
Intrinsic 2018-05-03 Vulnerability		Moderately vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Moderately Vulnerable. Species exhibits moderate age of maturity, frequency of reproduction, and/or fecundity such that populations generally tend to recover from decreases in abundance within 5- 20 years or 2-5 generations. Species has good dispersal ca Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B	

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (3.67) = 3.67

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Transportation & Service Corridors	2025-01-28	Low	Restricted	Moderate	High	Mortality of basking individuals on roads
Biological Resource Use	2025-01-28	Medium	Large	Moderate	High	Human persecution may have minor local effects

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 1 - Medium, 1 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(4.19 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(3.67 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (-0.07) = 3.96

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4
Date Approved	2025-01-28
Approval Authority	MTNHP Staff
Rank Justification	Species is relatively common within suitable habitat and widely distributed across portions of the state.

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ARADE02120

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ARADE02120

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Factor	Assessment Category	Value	Criteria
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)
Status	Status Quanty	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)
	Danier Overlite	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")
Threats	Throat Ovality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available
Trends		Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)
	Trend Quality	Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown

Summary of Information Availability

None

Summary of Information Needs

None

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Transportation & Service Corridors - 4.1 - Roads & Railroads	2025-01-28	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Restricte d	Moderate	High	Mortality of basking individuals on roads
Biological Resource Use - 5.1 - Hunting & Collecting Terrestrial Animals	2025-01-28	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Large	Moderate	High	Human persecution may have minor local effects