# Plains Spadefoot (*Spea bombifrons*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

October 22, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

# **Rarity and Trends**

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Value Score		Comments	
Rarity						
Range Extent	2024-10-22	Y: 299511.0 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None	
Area of Occupancy	2024-10-22	9718   4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None	
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Environmental Specificity	2018-05-03	Moderate	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Species requires ephemeral waterbodies and specific soil types. Both are found across much of eastern and central Montana   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: C	

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores:  $((4.71 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2))/3 = 4.78$ 

Trends				
Short-term Trend	2018-05-03	0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Species appears to be stable based on repeated detections at some sites.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: E
Long-term Trend	2004-01-01	-0.070	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Although areas of native habitat have been converted to agriculture and other anthropogenic uses since European settlement, this species appears to make use of areas with a matrix or range and agricultural lands and outside of Montana has bee found to increase within cultivated areas. Given this it is unlikely that the available habitat for this species has decreased by more than 25%, and irrigation may simulate precipitation events that this species relies on for reproduction.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: E

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: (  $(0.00 \times 2) + (-0.07 \times 1)$  ) = -0.07

### **Threats**

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		None	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-05-03	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. High fecundity, relatively low age of maturity   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: C	

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (3.67) = 3.67

#### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2024-10-22	Low	Restricted	Moderate	High	Although the species makes use of irrigated field that remain in a seminatural state, loss of habitat through conversion to row crop agriculture is a threat to the species.
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-10-22	Medium	Pervasive	Moderate	High	Increasingly sever droughts may impact habitat suitability for the species. Although it is drought adapted, prolonged dry periods may impact survival and breeding
		Threat Tally	: 0 - Very High	, 0 - High, 1 - I	Medium, 1 - Low	

\*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Overall Threat Impact\* = Medium

#### **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity:  $(4.78 \times 70\%)$  + Threats:  $(3.67 \times 30\%)$  + Trends: (-0.07) = 4.38

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4			
Date Approved	2018-05-03			
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee			
Rank Justification	Recent nocturnal calling surveys conducted after precipitation events on warm evenings have often detected this species east of the Continental Divide. It appears that the previous perception of rarity was due in part to lack of historical survey effort and difficulty detecting the species during much of the year and in most weather conditions. Given these data, the SOC status can no longer be justified and the rank has been increased to S4.			

# **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AAABF02010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AAABF02010

# **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Assessment			<u></u>				
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danas Ovalitu	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats  (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
Trends		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

## **Summary of Information Availability**

Species has adequate data for assessment.

#### **Summary of Information Needs**

Continuation of nocturnal calling surveys at regular intervals will provide adequate trend data.

# **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.1 - Annual & Perennial Non-Timber Crops	2024-10-22	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion, WWF Plowprint tool	Restricte d	Moderate	High	Although the speceis makes use of irigated field that remain in a seminatural state, loss of habitat through conversion to row crop agriculture is a threat to the species.
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.2 - Droughts	2024-10-22	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Pervasiv e	Moderate	High	Increasingly sever droughts may impact habitat suitability for the species. Although it is drought adapted, prolonged dry periods may impact survival and breeding