Pinyon Jay (*Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 23, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Rank Factor Date Assessed		Score	Data Source	Comments
Rarity					
Range Extent	2024-12-12	Y: 212089.8 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None
Area of Occupancy	2024-12-12	5619 4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None
Number of Occurrences	2025-01-22	6	1.380	MTNHP Data	Approximately 6 areas of Montana where Jays are documented
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $(4.71 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2) + (1.38 \times 1)) / 4 = 3.93$

Trends					
Short-term Trend	2023-12-20	-33.7%	-0.140	IMBCR	IMBCR trend in population estimates for Montana. "-Point Estimate"
Long-term Trend	2025-01-22		-0.310		Range-wide Jays have declined by approximately 80%. Extent of decline in Montana below pre- european arrival is unknown.

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((-0.14 \times 2) + (-0.31 \times 1)) = -0.59$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		High	1.830		Fire, climate change, disease, and timber harvest are the greatest threats to the species.	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	Intrinsic 2011-12-21 Not intrinsically		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has a high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance. Species has good dispersal capabilities such that extirpated populations generally become reestablished through natural recolonization. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C	

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (1.83) = 1.83

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments		
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2025-01-23	High	Large	Serious	Moderate	Audubon Survival by Degrees Project predicts a moderate loss of range under 1.5c warming		
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 0 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High								

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(3.93 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(1.83 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (-0.59) = 2.71

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3				
Date Approved	2008-10-01				
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee				
Rank Justification	Species is uncommon or rare across southcentral and southeastern Montana. It appears to be declining rapidly but the cause for these declines is poorly understood. Climate warming has the potential to exacerbate these declines.				

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABPAV07010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABPAV07010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Rank Assessment		211				
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status			Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danas Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
	Threat Oaklin	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
	,	Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
		Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
	Trend Quality	Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Data are generally available to assess status, but threats and reasons for decline are poorly characterized.

Summary of Information Needs

Research to document threats and determine causes of decline are needed. Given the severity of recent declines this need is pressing.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.1 - Habitat Shifting & Alteration	2025-01-23	Dan Bachen	Audubon Survival by Degrees Project	Large	Serious	Moderat e	Audubon Survival by Degrees Project predicts a moderate loss of range under 1.5c warming