

Olive-sided Flycatcher (*Contopus cooperi*)

Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 24, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: [Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species](#)

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Rarity					
Range Extent	2024-01-09	S: 169750.2 km ²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None
Area of Occupancy	2024-01-09	9297 4km ² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Environmental Specificity	2018-05-03	Moderate	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Species can be found in a diversity of montane and boreal forests throughout the west, but appears to select post-fire habitat over other forest habitat types when available Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C
Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((3.93 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2)) / 3 = 4.52$					
Trends					
Short-term Trend	2023-12-20	9.8%	0.000	IMBCR	IMBCR trend in population estimates for Montana. "-Point Estimate"
Long-term Trend	2018-05-03		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Significant changes in forest management, including fire regimes have occurred since European settlement. This appears to have had a negative impact on populations, but the extend is unknown. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((0.00 \times 2)) = 0.00$					

Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Threats					
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		Loss of habitat due to forest management practices such as fire, and logging including salvage logging
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-05-03	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Species nests at least once per year. Interannually variability due to changes in prey density indicate that species can disperse between areas of suitable habitat. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (3.67) = 3.67					

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Biological Resource Use	2024-09-24	Medium	Large	Moderate	High	Habitat loss within overwintering habitat in the tropics
Natural System Modifications	2024-09-24	Medium	Large	Moderate	High	Post fire habitat is used, but may act a population sink
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 2 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium						

*See [Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species](#) for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(4.52 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(3.67 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: $(0.00) = 4.26$

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4B
Date Approved	2024-09-24
Approval Authority	Heritage Program
Rank Justification	Species appears stable in Montana, but is declining in other portions of its range likely due to fire impacts and habitat loss within tropical winter range

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

<https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABPAE32010>

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

<https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABPAE32010>

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Factor	Assessment Category	Value	Criteria
General Status	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)
		Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)
Rarity	Range Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)
		Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape
		Poor	Range polygon not defined
	Habitat Quality	Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)
		Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood
Threats	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")
		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")
		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed
Trends	Recency	Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old
		Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown

Summary of Information Availability

All information to assess status are available.

Summary of Information Needs

Species should continue to be monitored as it faces significant threats.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Biological Resource Use - 5.3 - Logging & Wood Harvesting	2024-09-24	Dan Bachen	Petit et al. 1993, 1995	Large	Moderate	High	Habitat loss within overwintering habitat in the tropics
Natural System Modifications - 7.1 - Fire & Fire Suppression	2024-09-24	Dan Bachen	Hutto 1995, Robertson and Hutto 2007, Marks et al. 2016	Large	Moderate	High	Post fire habitat is used, but may act a population sink