Northern Rubber Boa (*Charina bottae*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 30, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2025-01-30	Y: 201799.9 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2025-01-30	4090 4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None			
Number of Occurrences	2025-01-30	[8, 12]	1.380	MTNHP Data	Approximately 8-12 discreet areas with species presence.			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity	2018-05-03 Narrow -		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Associated with mesic forests and rock outcrops Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B			

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $(4.71 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2) + (1.38 \times 1)) / 4 = 3.93$

Trends								
Short-term Trend	2018-05-03		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. No data on trends available Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U			
Long-term Trend	2025-01-30		0.000		None			

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((0.00 \times 1)) = 0.00$

Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments		
Threats							
Overall Threat Impact		Unknown	-		Factor not used in ranking. Unknown. Range is poorly defined, threats to eastern populations may exist, but are unknown at this time		
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-05-03	Not intrinsically vulnerable	MTNHP Not Vulnerable. Species is long lived, births 2- species young per year and has good connectivity wit				
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (5.50) = 5.50							

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
No individual threats data used in ranking this species							

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(3.93 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(5.50 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (0.00) = 4.40

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4
Date Approved	2025-01-30
Approval Authority	MTNHP Staff
Rank Justification	

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ARADA01010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ARADA01010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment		Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value					
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status			Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danier Constitu	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Thurst Ovelity	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
		Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
	Trend Quality	Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Rarity data are available for ranking. Trend and threats are poorly characterized.

Summary of Information Needs

Monitoring of known sites would address the lack of short-term trend. Species may occur outside of the current range polygon, so extralimital surveys may be useful to characterize range extent. Threats are poorly understood, further research would help clarify this.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
No Threat Identified - 0.5 - Unknown/Undetermined Threat	2025-01-30	None	None	None	None	None	None
No threats data available for this species							