# Northern Pikeminnow (*Ptychocheilus oregonensis*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

March 6, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Rank Factor Date Value		Score	Data Source	Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2024-02-20	Y: 64926.0 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2024-03-06	2437   1km² cells	4.130	MTFWP fish distribution layer	km from mt fish distribution layer			
Number of Occurrences			_		Factor not used in ranking.			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: ( (3.93 × 1) + (4.13 × 2) ) / 3 = 4.06								
Trends								
Short-term Trend	2024-02-20		[-0.310, -0.220] MTFWP for stan monitoring data Thomps median		Limited data. Little trend data for rivers. Most is for standing water. Heavily influenced by declining populations on lower Clark Fork R. and Thompson Chain. Mean is 45% decline and median is 72% 17 waterbodies assessed			
Long-term Trend	2024-02-20	[-40.0, -20.0%]	[-0.140, -0.070]	Expert Opinion- Chris Clancy	None			
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: ( ([-0.31, -0.22] × 2) + ([-0.14, -0.07] × 1) ) = [-0.76, -0.51]								

## **Rarity and Trends**

### Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments			
Threats								
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		None			
Intrinsic Vulnerability			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (3.67) = 3.67								

#### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2024-02-20	Medium	Pervasive	Moderate	High	Dewatering of streams due to agriculture and entrapment in ditches	
Natural System Modifications	2024-02-20	Low	Small	Slight	High	Dams on major rivers, Kootenai, Clark Fork can impede migrating, but they adapt well to dams	
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases	2024-02-20	Low	Restricted	Slight	High	Adapt to dams, but then decline when predacious non native fish establish.	
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 1 - Medium, 2 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium							

\*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.</u>

## **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity: (4.06 × 70%) + Threats: (3.67 × 30%) + Trends: ([-0.76, -0.51]) = [3.19, 3.44]

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	\$3				
Date Approved	2024-09-30				
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee				
Rank Justification	Species is widely distributed but is declining and faces moderate threats				

## **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana\_State\_Rank\_Criteria\_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AFCJB35030

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AFCJB35030

## **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Rank Assessment		Critorio				
Factor	Category	value	Citteria				
General Status	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
		Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Range Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
		Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
-		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inteats		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
	Recency	Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
Trends		Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Information to assess status is available but trend is uncertain.

#### Summary of Information Needs

Monitoring to provide more certainty in trend should be conducted.

## **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.3 - Livestock Farming & Ranching	2024-02-20	Chris Clancy	None	Pervasive	Moderate	High	Dewatering of streams due to agriculture and entrapment in ditches
Natural System Modifications - 7.2 - Dams & Water Management/Use	2024-02-20	Chris Clancy	None	Small	Slight	High	Dams on major rivers, Kootenai, Clark Fork can impede migrating, but they adapt well to dams
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases - 8.1 - Invasive Non-Native/Alien Species/Diseases	2024-02-20	Chris Clancy	None	Restricted	Slight	High	Adapt to dams, but then decline when predacious non native fish establish.