Northern Grasshopper Mouse (*Onychomys leucogaster*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 24, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2024-09-24	Y: 289232.9 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2024-09-24	16924 4km² cells	5.500	MTNHP Modeling	None			
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			1		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity	2018-05-03	2018-05-03 Broad - Sp Ran		MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Found in a diversity of grass and shrub dominated habitats Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: D			

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((4.71 \times 1) + (5.50 \times 2)) / 3 = 5.24$

Trends							
Short-term Trend	2018-05-03		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. No data on trends available Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U		
Long-term Trend	2018-05-03		[-0.070, 0.070]	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Habitat is likely stable within +/- 25% since European settlement Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E		

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $(([-0.07, 0.07] \times 1)) = [-0.07, 0.07]$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Threats					
Overall Threat Impact		Low/No Threats	5.500		No operational threats in the next 15-20 years identified
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-05-03	Not intrinsically Species Rank Data Table		Species Rank Data	Factor not used in ranking. Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has a high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance. Species has good dispersal capabilities such that e Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (5.50) = 5.50

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments		
No Threat Identified	2024-09-24	Low	None	None	None	None		
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 0 - Medium, 1 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Low/No Threats								

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(5.24 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(5.50 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: ([-0.07, 0.07]) = [5.25, 5.39]

Calculated Rank: S5

Accepted Rank	S5
Date Approved	2024-12-18
Approval Authority	MTNHP
Rank Justification	Species is common across central and eastern Montana and faces no threats.

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMAFF06010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMAFF06010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Factor	Assessment Category	Value	Criteria			
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)			
Status			Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)			
			Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)			
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape			
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined			
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)			
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)			
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood			
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")			
Threats	Throat Ouglity	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")			
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed			
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed			
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old			
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened			
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old			
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available			
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)			
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened			
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected			
			Short-term Trend is Unknown			

Summary of Information Availability

Data to assess status are available

Summary of Information Needs

No additional information are needed at this time.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
No Threat Identified - 0	2024-09-24	None	None	None	None	None	None