North American Water Vole (*Microtus richardsoni*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 24, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent 2024-09-24		Y: 131391.9 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2024-09-24	3656 4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None			
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			_		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
	Rarity	v is calculated by a ((3.93 × 1)	averaging w + (4.81 × 2))	-	tor scores:			
Trends								
Short-term Trend	2012-01-04		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. No data on trends available. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U			
Long-term Trend	ong-term Trend 2018-05-03		[-0.070 <i>,</i> 0.000]	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Habitat is likely stable within +/- 25% since European settlement Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E			
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: (([-0.07, 0.00] × 1)) = [-0.07, 0.00]								

Rarity and Trends

Threats

Rank Factor	Date Value Assessed		Score	Data Source	Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		Degradation of stream habitat through logging or wildfire	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2012-01-04	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has a high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance. Species has good dispersal capabilities such that extirpated populations generally become reestablished through natural recolonization. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C	
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (3.67) = 3.67						

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-09-24	Medium	Pervasive	Moderate	High	Drying of streams due to drought	
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 1 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium							

*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.</u>

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (4.52 × 70%) + Threats: (3.67 × 30%) + Trends: ([-0.07, 0.00]) = [4.19, 4.26]

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4		
Date Approved	2024-12-18		
Approval Authority	MTNHP		
Rank JustificationSpecies is uncommon across much of western and portions of central likely stable but faces threats from drought.			

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMAFF11190

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMAFF11190

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	Malua	Crittoria			
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria			
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)			
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)			
			Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)			
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape			
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined			
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)			
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)			
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood			
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")			
Threats	Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")			
meats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed			
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed			
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old			
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened			
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old			
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available			
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)			
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened			
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected			
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown			

Summary of Information Availability

Data to assess status are generally available but short-term trend is not.

Summary of Information Needs

As species has moderate threats, periodic monitoring of populations to determine trend and assess threat impacts would benefit assessment of status.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.2 - Droughts	2024-09-24	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Pervasiv e	Moderate	High	Drying of streams due to drought