Mountain Whitefish (*Prosopium williamsoni*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

March 6, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2024-02-20	Y: 213401.7 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2024-03-06	18102 1km² cells	4.810	MTFWP Fish distribution layer	KM from MT fish distribution			
Number of Occurrences			1		Factor not used in ranking.			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			1		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.			

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((4.71 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2)) / 3 = 4.78$

Trends								
Short-term Trend	2024-02-20	[-20.0, -2.0%]	[-0.070 <i>,</i> 0.000]	FWP monitoring data	38 waters, mean 0.8, median 0.98; lowest 80% decline highest 50% increase			
Long-term Trend	2024-02-20	-30.0%	-0.140	Expert opinion	. Possible small percent decrease in range. Reservoir construction must have negative trend since 1800 in addition to present trends			

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $(([-0.07, 0.00] \times 2) + (-0.14 \times 1)) = [-0.28, -0.14]$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments			
Threats								
Overall Threat Impact High 1.830 None								
Intrinsic Vulnerability			-		Factor not used in ranking.			

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (1.83) = 1.83

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Natural System Modifications	2024-02-20	Low	Large	Slight	High	Dewatering - Jefferson River significantly others rivers to a varying degree. Probably tributary spawning streams affected. Entrainment and diversion dams- Kootenai, Missouri, Madison, Clark Fork, South Fork Flathead, Ruby, Beaverhead, others	
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases	2024-02-20	Low	Pervasive	Slight	High	Predation from nonnative fish	
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-02-20	High	Pervasive	Serious	High	MWF are abundant and overall range remains the same. Some populations have declined and some are stable.	
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 0 - Medium, 2 - Low							

*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Overall Threat Impact* = High

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(4.78 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(1.83 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: ([-0.28, -0.14]) = [3.61, 3.75]

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4
Date Approved	2024-09-30
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee
Rank Justification	Species is widely distributed but is declining in some areas and faces significant threats from warming water temperatures and drought.

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AFCHA03060

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AFCHA03060

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment		Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value					
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Dan an Ovalita	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Ouglity	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

None

Summary of Information Needs

None

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Natural System Modifications - 7.2 - Dams & Water Management/Use	2024-02-20	Chris Clancy	Expert Opinion	Large	Slight	High	Dewatering - Jefferson River significantly others rivers to a varying degree. Probably tributary spawning streams affected. Entrainment and diversion dams-Kootenai, Missouri, Madison, Clark Fork, South Fork Flathead, Ruby, Beaverhead, others
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases - 8.1 - Invasive Non-Native/Alien Species/Diseases	2024-02-20	Chris Clancy	Expert Opinion	Pervasive	Slight	High	Predation from nonnative fish
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.1 - Habitat Shifting & Alteration	2024-02-20	Chris Clancy	Expert opinion	Pervasive	Serious	High	MWF are abundant and overall range remains the same. Some populations have declined and some are stable.