# Meadow Jumping Mouse (*Zapus hudsonius*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 24, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

# **Rarity and Trends**

| Rank Factor                           | Date<br>Assessed Value |                   | Score Data<br>Source |                        | Comments  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Rarity                                |                        |                   |                      |                        |   |  |  |  |
| Range Extent                          | 2024-09-24             | Y: 95222.6 km²    | 3.930                | MTNHP<br>Range<br>Maps | None  |  |  |  |
| Area of Occupancy                     | 2024-09-24             | 3246   4km² cells | 4.810                | MTNHP<br>Modeling      | None  |  |  |  |
| Number of Occurrences                 | 2024-09-24             | 18                | 1.380                | MTNHP<br>Database      | Limited information but known from 18 occurrences in MTNHP database |  |  |  |
| Population Size                       |                        |                   | -                    |                        | Factor not used in ranking.   |  |  |  |
| # of Occurrences in<br>Good Condition |                        |                   | -                    |                        | Factor not used in ranking.   |  |  |  |
| % of Area Occupied in Good Condition  |                        |                   | -                    |                        | Factor not used in ranking.   |  |  |  |
| Environmental<br>Specificity          |                        |                   | -                    |                        | Factor not used in ranking.   |  |  |  |

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores:  $(3.93 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2) + (1.38 \times 1) / 4 = 3.73$ 

| Trends           |            |  |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|------------|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Short-term Trend | 2018-05-03 |  | -      | MTNHP<br>Species<br>Rank Data<br>Table | Factor not used in ranking. No data on trends available   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: U           |  |  |  |  |
| Long-term Trend  | 2024-09-24 |  | -0.070 | Expert<br>Opinion                      | widespread grazing has likely reduced dense<br>grasses in riparian areas, reducing habitat for<br>this species |  |  |  |  |

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores:  $((-0.07 \times 1)) = -0.07$ 

# **Threats**

| Rank Factor Date Assessed   |  | Value                           | Score Data<br>Source |  | Comments   |  |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Threats                     |  |                                 |                      |  |  |  |
| Overall Threat<br>Impact    |  | High - medium                   | [1.830,<br>3.670]    |  | Unknown  |  |
| Intrinsic 2018-05-03 Not in |  | Not intrinsically<br>vulnerable | -                    | MTNHP<br>Species<br>Rank Data<br>Table | Factor not used in ranking. Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has a high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance. Species has good dispersal capabilities such that e   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: C |  |

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: ([1.83, 3.67]) = [1.83, 3.67]

#### **Individual Threats Data**

| Threat Category              | Date<br>Assessed | Impact<br>Score  | Scope | Severity             | Immediacy | Comments  |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|----------------------|-----------|---|
| Agriculture &<br>Aquaculture | 2024-09-24       | High -<br>Medium | Large | Serious-<br>Moderate | High      | Removal of dense grasses and<br>degradation of riparian habitats is a<br>known threat for other similar species<br>but unstudied in Montana |

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, [0,1] - High, [0,1] - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact\* = High - medium

<sup>\*</sup>See Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

## **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity:  $(3.73 \times 70\%)$  + Threats:  $([1.83, 3.67] \times 30\%)$  + Trends: (-0.07) = [3.09, 3.64]

Calculated Rank: S3S4

| Accepted Rank      | S3S4  |
|--------------------|---|
| Date Approved      | 2022-03-01  |
| Approval Authority | Montana Species of Concern Committee  |
| Rank Justification | Species is infrequently observed and significant areas of it's range lack observations. It requires dense vegetation in riparian areas, and habitat is threatened by overgrazing and degradation by ranching. |

# **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana\_State\_Rank\_Criteria\_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMAFH01040

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMAFH01040

## **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

| Rank    | Rank Assessment |                             |   |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Factor  | Category        | Value                       | Criteria  |  |  |  |  |
| General | Status Quality  | Adequate                    | Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  | Status Quality  | Poor                        | Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)  |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Adequate                    | Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species) |  |  |  |  |
|         | Range Quality   | Marginal                    | Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape   |  |  |  |  |
| Rarity  |                 | Poor                        | Range polygon not defined   |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Adequate                    | Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)   |  |  |  |  |
|         | Habitat Quality | Marginal                    | Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)                             |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Poor                        | Species-habitat relationship is not well understood   |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Adequate                    | Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")  |  |  |  |  |
| Threats | Thurst Overlity | Marginal                    | Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")  |  |  |  |  |
| inreats | Threat Quality  | Poor                        | Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed  |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Unknown                     | Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed  |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Current                     | Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old   |  |  |  |  |
| Trends  | Recency         | Out of Date but<br>Adequate | Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened  |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Out of Date                 | Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old   |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Not Available               | Short-term Trend data are not available   |  |  |  |  |
|         | Trend Quality   | Sufficient                  | Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)  |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Unknown but<br>Sufficient   | Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened  |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Poor                        | Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected  |  |  |  |  |
|         |                 | Unknown                     | Short-term Trend is Unknown   |  |  |  |  |

## **Summary of Information Availability**

Species is known from incidental data across Southeastern Montana. Most of its factors are poorly characterized or unknown.

## **Summary of Information Needs**

Species would benefit from targeted inventory at sites within suitable habitat within and outside of its current range to better establish range extent and provide a base for future monitoring. Threats need additional research.

# **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

| Threat Category  | Date<br>Assessed | Assessed<br>By | Data<br>Source    | Scope | Severity             | Imme-<br>diacy | Comments  |
|--|------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------|----------------------|----------------|---|
| Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.3 - Livestock Farming & Ranching | 2024-09-24       | Dan Bachen     | Expert<br>Opinion | Large | Serious-<br>Moderate | High           | Removal of dense grasses and<br>degridation of riparian habitats is a<br>knownthreat for other simmilar<br>species but unstudied in Montana |