

Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*)

Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 22, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: [Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species](#)

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Rarity					
Range Extent	2024-12-06	S: 302852.4 km ²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None
Area of Occupancy			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Number of Occurrences	2025-01-22		1.380		None
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-12-06		3.300		None
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Environmental Specificity	2009-01-30	Moderate	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Species uses shrub, savannah, and grassland habitats with wood shrubs. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C
Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((4.71 \times 1) + (1.38 \times 1) + (3.30 \times 2)) / 4 = 3.17$					
Trends					
Short-term Trend	2023-12-20	24.1%	0.070	IMBCR	IMBCR trend in population estimates for Montana. "-Point Estimate"
Long-term Trend	2009-01-30		0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Shrubland habitats probably relatively stable since European arrival within +/-25% Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((0.07 \times 2) + (0.00 \times 1)) = 0.14$					

Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Threats					
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		Declines have occurred due to general habitat loss primarily, but also pesticides, overgrazing, alterations to fire regime which have reduced grasslands, and introduced animal predators. BBS data indicates decline of 3.5-5 percent per year across range b
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2009-01-30	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (3.67) = 3.67					

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2024-12-06	Medium	Large	Moderate	High	Threat of conversion of occupied habitat to row crop agriculture. Much of the species breeding range overlaps with areas at risk of conversion and >70% of the suitable habitt occurs on private lands.
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 1 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium						

*See [Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species](#) for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(3.17 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(3.67 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (0.14) = 3.46

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3B
Date Approved	2004-07-01
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee
Rank Justification	Species is uncommon across much of eastern Montana. Populations are stable but faces threats from habitat loss due to conversion of native habitats to agriculture.

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

<https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABPBR01030>

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

<https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABPBR01030>

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Factor	Assessment Category	Value	Criteria
General Status	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)
		Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)
Rarity	Range Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)
		Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape
		Poor	Range polygon not defined
	Habitat Quality	Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)
		Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood
Threats	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")
		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")
		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed
Trends	Recency	Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old
		Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown

Summary of Information Availability

Threats could be better defined, but data are generally available

Summary of Information Needs

Threats facing the species could be better understood. Work to identify these and their impacts would benefit ranking.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.1 - Annual & Perennial Non-Timber Crops	2024-12-06	Dan Bachen	MTNHP Data; WWF Plowprint tool	Large	Moderate	High	Threat of conversion of occupied habitat to row crop agriculture. Much of the species breeding range overlaps with areas at risk of conversion and >70% of the suitable habitt occurs on private lands.
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.1 - Habitat Shifting & Alteration	2024-12-06	Dan Bachen	Audubon Survival by Degrees project	Pervasiv e	Negligible	Moderat e	Audubon's Survival by Degrees project does not predict a negative impact of warming temperatures on this species.