# Least Weasel (*Mustela nivalis*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 25, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

# **Rarity and Trends**

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments		
Rarity							
Range Extent	2024-09-25	Y: 346657.9 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None		
Area of Occupancy	2024-09-25	10028   4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None		
Number of Occurrences	2024-09-25	63	2.750	MTNHP Data	90 Observations in the MTNHP database representing ~63 occurrences		
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
Environmental Specificity	2024-09-25	Broad	-		Factor not used in ranking.		

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores:  $(4.71 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2) + (2.75 \times 1) / 4 = 4.27$ 

Trends				
Short-term Trend	2024-09-25	-0.070	Kluge 2023	Undifferentiated weasel trends show moderate declines over the last decade
Long-term Trend	2024-09-25	[-0.070, 0.000]		None

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores:  $((-0.07 \times 2) + ([-0.07, 0.00] \times 1)) = [-0.21, -0.14]$ 

#### **Threats**

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments		
Threats							
Overall Threat Impact		Low/No Threats	5.500		None		
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2024-09-25	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Data	Factor not used in ranking. Species likey has some dispersal capacity, breeds anually and would be expected to recover relativly quickly		

# ( 5.50 ) = 5.50

#### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
No Threat Identified	2024-09-25	Low	None	None	None	None

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 0 - Medium, 1 - Low Overall Threat Impact\* = Low/No Threats

<sup>\*</sup>See Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

#### **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity:  $(4.27 \times 70\%)$  + Threats:  $(5.50 \times 30\%)$  + Trends: ([-0.21, -0.14]) = [4.43, 4.50]

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4				
Date Approved	2024-12-18				
Approval Authority	MTNHP				
Rank Justification	Spies is infrequently observed across much of the state. It faces no known threats.  Populations may have undergone moderate declines over the past decade				

# **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMAJF02020

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMAJF02020

## **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Factor	Assessment Category	Value	Criteria
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)
Status	Status Quality		Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)
	Danas Ovalita	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")
Threats	Throat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")
illeats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown

#### **Summary of Information Availability**

Data to assess status are available

#### **Summary of Information Needs**

No additional information are needed at this time.

## **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
No Threat Identified - 0	2024-09-25	None	None	None	None	None	None