Least Tern (*Sternula antillarum*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

October 21, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2024-05-13	S: 21678.1 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2024-05-13	243 4km ² cells	3.440	MTNHP Modeling	None			
Number of Occurrences	2024-05-13	4	0.000	MTNHP Databases	None			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-05-13		2.200		None			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: ((3.93 × 1) + (3.44 × 2) + (0.00 × 1) + (2.20 × 2)) / 6 = 2.54								
Trends								
Short-term Trend	2024-05-13		0.000	USFWS	Populations are relatively stable to increasing			
Long-term Trend	2009-02-06		-0.140	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Riverine gravel and sand bars have declined drastically as a result of damming and alteration of river hydrology since European arrival. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: D			
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: ((0.00 × 2) + (-0.14 × 1)) = -0.14								

Rarity and Trends

Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value Score		Data Source	Comments			
Threats								
Overall Threat Impact		Very high - high	[0.000, 1.830]		None			
Intrinsic Vulnerability	- Factor not used in ranking.							
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: ([0.00, 1.83]) = [0.00, 1.83]								

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Natural System Modifications	2024-09-23	Very high - High	Pervasive	Extreme- Serious	High	Ongoing impacts to limited nesting habitat due to water management	
Threat Tally: [0,1] - Very High, [0,1] - High, 0 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Very high - high							

*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats</u>.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (2.54 × 70%) + Threats: ([0.00, 1.83] × 30%) + Trends: (-0.14) = [1.64, 2.18]

Calculated Rank: S2

Accepted Rank	S2B					
Date Approved	2024-09-30					
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee					
Rank Justification	Species is uncommon to rare along the lower Yellowstone and Missouri River drainages in eastern Montana. It was formally listed under the Endangered Species Act but had since recovered and was delisted. Populations appear stable or increasing. It faces significant ongoing threats from the changes to the hydrology of these river systems and subsequent impacts to habitat.					

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNNM08100

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model: https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNNM08100

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Assessment		Malua	Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
			Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
-		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
meats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
Trends		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Information on status is generally good, but uncertainty exists in the threat scoring.

Summary of Information Needs

Further assessment of threats is necessary to refine this score and improve the numeric rank. Additionally, better trend data would confirm ongoing recovery.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Natural System Modifications - 7.2 - Dams & Water Management/Use	2024-09-23	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Pervasiv e	Extreme- Serious	High	Ongoing impacts to limited nesting habitat due to water management