# Lake Trout (Salvelinus namaycush) Conservation Status Rank Summary

March 6, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

## **Rarity and Trends**

Rank Factor	Date Value Assessed		Score Data Source		Comments		
Rarity							
Range Extent	2024-02-20	Y: 2881.8 km²	2.360	MTNHP Range Maps	None		
Area of Occupancy			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
Number of Occurrences	2024-02-20	3	0.000	MTNHP Databases	None		
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.		

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores:  $((2.36 \times 1) + (0.00 \times 1)) / 2 = 1.18$ 

Trends				
Short-term Trend	2024-02-20	0.000	MTFWP monitoring data	Not well assessed but species persists at Twin Lakes and Elk Lake. Population in Glen Lakes has not been recently assessed.
Long-term Trend		-		Factor not used in ranking.

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores:  $((0.00 \times 2)) = 0.00$ 

## **Threats**

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments		
Threats							
Overall Threat Impact			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2024-02-20	Moderately vulnerable	2.750	Expert Opinion	Species is native to a handful of isolated lakes within Montana. Recolonizaiton would not be possible if exterpated.		
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (2.75) = 2.75							

#### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
No individual threats data used in ranking this species							

#### **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity:  $(1.18 \times 70\%)$  + Threats:  $(2.75 \times 30\%)$  + Trends: (0.00) = 1.65

Calculated Rank: S2

Accepted Rank	S2					
Date Approved	2006-07-01					
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee					
Rank Justification	Species is native to a few lakes and one drainage within the state. Threats are poodescribed and occupancy at native sites is likely stable.					

# **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AFCHA05050

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AFCHA05050

## **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	Value	Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value					
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank v or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danasa Qualita	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats  (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
illeats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
	·	Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

<u>Summary of Information Availability</u> Information to assess status is available

<u>Summary of Information Needs</u> No further information is needed

## **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
No Threat Identified - 0.5 - Unknown/Undetermined Threat	2024-02-20	None	None	None	None	None	None
No threats data available for this species							