Greater Sage-Grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 9, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments		
Rarity							
Range Extent	ge Extent 2024-02-28 Y: 271423.4 km ² 4.710 Ra		MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2025-01-09	22926 4km ² cells	5.500	MTNHP Modeling	None		
Number of Occurrences	2025-01-09	2020	5.500	MTNHP Databases	None		
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2025-01-09		0.000		None		
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
Environmental Specificity	2008-09-15	Narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Sagebrush obligate. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B		
Trends		r is calculated by a (4.71 × 1) + (5.50 × 2)					
Short-term Trend	2025-01-09		[-0.070, M [*] 0.000] 2		Population is stable to declining		
Long-term Trend	ng-term Trend 2008-09-15		-0.140	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Sagebrush cover drastically reduced in Montana (25-50% decline) since European arrival. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: D		
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: (([-0.07, 0.00] × 2) + (-0.14 × 1)) = [-0.28, -0.14]							

Rarity and Trends

Threats

Rank Factor	Rank Factor Date Assessed		Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Very high	0.000		None	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	Intrinsic2008-09-15Moderately vulnerableSpe Rank		MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B		
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (0.00) = 0.00						

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2025-01-09	Medium	Large	Moderate	High	Loss of native steppe habitats due to conversion to agriculture.	
Energy Production & Mining	2025-01-09	Low	Restricted	Moderate	High	Habitat loss and fragmentation due to oil and gas development. Limited scope in Montana.	
Human Intrusions & Disturbance	2025-01-09	Low	Large	Slight	High	Disturbance of lecks by wildlife viewing.	
Natural System Modifications	2025-01-09	High	Pervasive	Serious	High	Loss of mature sagebrush habitat due to fire. Ongoing impacts of fire suppression leading to loss of habitat heterogeneity.	
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases	2025-01-09	Medium	Pervasive	Moderate	High	West Nile Virus can cause up to 25% declines.	
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 2 - Medium, 2 - Low							

Overall Threat Impact* = Very high

*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.</u>

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (3.54 × 70%) + Threats: (0.00 × 30%) + Trends: ([-0.28, -0.14]) = [2.20, 2.34]

Calculated Rank: S2

Accepted Rank	S2				
Date Approved	2004-07-01				
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee				
Rank Justification	Species is found across central and eastern Montana in steppe habitats. Although current trends appear somewhat stable, the species has suffered severe declines due to loss of habitat and habitat fragmentation. It faces ongoing issues with habitat loss due to agricultural conversion, fire management, and oil and gas development as well as threats from West Nile Virus. Disturbance of lecks is also a concern.				

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNLC12010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model: https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNLC12010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Assessment		Value	Criteria				
Factor	Category	value	Cintella				
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
			Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
-		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
Inreats		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
			Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability Data to assess status are available.

<u>Summary of Information Needs</u> No further information is needed.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.1 - Annual & Perennial Non-Timber Crops	2025-01-09	Dan Bachen	WWF Plowprint tool, MTNHP Data	Large	Moderate	High	Loss of native steppe habitats due to conversion to agriculture.
Energy Production & Mining - 3.1 - Oil & Gas Drilling	2025-01-09	Dan Bachen	Conover and Roberts 2016	Restricte d	Moderate	High	Habitat loss and fragmentation due to oil and gas development. Limited scope in Montana.
Biological Resource Use - 5.1 - Hunting & Collecting Terrestrial Animals	2025-01-09	Dan Bachen	MTFWP 2005	Pervasiv e	Negligible	High	Species is currently hunted in Montana, but impacts to population are minimal.
Human Intrusions & Disturbance - 6.1 - Recreational Activities	2025-01-09	Dan Bachen	MTFWP 2005	Large	Slight	High	Disturbance of lecks by wildlife viewing.
Natural System Modifications - 7.1 - Fire & Fire Suppression	2025-01-09	Dan Bachen	Brooks et al. 2015, Beck et al. 2009	Pervasiv e	Serious	High	Loss of mature sagebrush habitat due to fire. Ongoing impacts of fire suppression leading to loss of habitat heterogeneity.
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases - 8.1 - Invasive Non-Native/Alien Species/Diseases	2025-01-09	Dan Bachen	Conover and Roberts 2016	Pervasiv e	Moderate	High	West Nile Virus can cause up to 25% declines.