

# Gophersnake (*Pituophis catenifer*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 27, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: [Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species](#)

## Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
<b>Rarity</b>					
<b>Range Extent</b>	2025-01-27	Y: 380530.8 km <sup>2</sup>	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None
<b>Area of Occupancy</b>	2025-01-27	14698   4km <sup>2</sup> cells	5.500	MTNHP Modeling	None
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
<b>Environmental Specificity</b>	2018-05-03	Moderate	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Within its range this species is associated with a wide range of habitats   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: C
Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((4.71 \times 1) + (5.50 \times 2)) / 3 = 5.24$					
<b>Trends</b>					
<b>Short-term Trend</b>	2018-05-03		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. No data on trends available   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: U
<b>Long-term Trend</b>	2018-05-03		0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Habitat is likely stable within +/- 25% since European settlement, but persecution by people may drive local extirpations of this species   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: E
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((0.00 \times 1)) = 0.00$					

## Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
<b>Threats</b>					
Overall Threat Impact		Low/No Threats	5.500		Unknown, but mortality due to basking on roads both directly from vehicle collisions and persecution due to increased visibility may contribute to higher mortality of reproductive aged individuals.
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-05-03	Moderately vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Moderately Vulnerable. Species exhibits moderate age of maturity, frequency of reproduction, and/or fecundity such that populations generally tend to recover from decreases in abundance within 5-20 years or 2-5 generations. Species has good dispersal ca   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: B
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: ( 5.50 ) = 5.50					

### Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
No individual threats data used in ranking this species						

## Conservation Status Rank Calculation

### Raw score

Rarity:  $(5.24 \times 70\%)$  + Threats:  $(5.50 \times 30\%)$  + Trends:  $(0.00) = 5.32$

Calculated Rank: S5

<b>Accepted Rank</b>	S5
<b>Date Approved</b>	2025-01-27
<b>Approval Authority</b>	MTNHP Staff
<b>Rank Justification</b>	Species is relatively common within suitable habitat and widely distributed across portions of the state

## Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

[https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana\\_State\\_Rank\\_Criteria\\_20211201.pdf](https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf)

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

<https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ARADB26020>

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

<https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ARADB26020>

## Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Factor	Assessment Category	Value	Criteria
<b>General Status</b>	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)
		Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)
<b>Rarity</b>	Range Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)
		Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape
		Poor	Range polygon not defined
	Habitat Quality	Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)
		Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood
<b>Threats</b>	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")
		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")
		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed
<b>Trends</b>	Recency	Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old
		Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown

### Summary of Information Availability

None

### Summary of Information Needs

None

### Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
No threats data available for this species							