# Common Tern (Sterna hirundo) Conservation Status Rank Summary

December 10, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

# **Rarity and Trends**

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments	
Rarity						
Range Extent	2024-12-10	S: 192879.6 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None	
Area of Occupancy	2024-12-10	1038   4km² cells	4.130	MTNHP Modeling	None	
Number of Occurrences	2024-12-10	39	2.750	MTNHP Databases	None	
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-12-10		3.300	MTNHP data	Most occurrences are on federally managed wildlife refuges, but species also breeds on private lands that lack protection.	
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Environmental Specificity	2009-01-26	Very narrow	Very parrow 5		Factor not used in ranking. Species dependent on isolated islands or artificial floating platforms for nesting.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: A	

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores:  $(3.93 \times 1) + (4.13 \times 2) + (2.75 \times 1) + (3.30 \times 2) / 6 = 3.59$ 

Trends				
Short-term Trend	2024-12-10	-	MTNHP Data	Factor not used in ranking. Less than half of occurrences have records of this species with the last 10 years. Whether this represents a decline or lack of survey effort is unknown
Long-term Trend	2009-01-26	0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Species suffered severe declines in the late 1800s as a result of the feather trade so that they were almost extirpated from the Atlantic Coast. Populations recovered after passage of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act in 1918, but declined again in the 1960s   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: E

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores:  $((0.00 \times 1)) = 0.00$ 

# **Threats**

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
hreats					
Overall Threat Impact		High	1.830		Altered hydrology, nest site disturbance, nest competition with more common species, and contaminants probably represent threats.  Climate Change may also represent a threat.
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2009-01-26	Moderately vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: B

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (1.83) = 1.83

#### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments		
Climate Change & Severe Weather	Audubon's survival by Degrees project predicts severe declines in breeding range with warming of 1.5C.							
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 0 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High								

<sup>\*</sup>See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

#### **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity:  $(3.59 \times 70\%)$  + Threats:  $(1.83 \times 30\%)$  + Trends: (0.00) = 3.06

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3B
Date Approved	1993-05-01
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee
Rank Justification	Species is an uncommon breeding resident of northern and central Montana wetlands complexes. Current population status is unknown and less than half of historic breeding sites have records of the species within the last 10 years. Threats are poorly characterized, but it appears that the species may experience significant habitat loss due to warming temperatures.

# **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNNM08070

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNNM08070

#### **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment		Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value					
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	David Overlike		Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Ouglity	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

### **Summary of Information Availability**

Data to assess species status are generally available, but short-term trend is not. Species has few observations at breeding sites within the last 10 years. This may be due to lack of effort or may represent a true decline.

## **Summary of Information Needs**

General avian monitoring programs are insufficient to characterize population changes for this species. Species specific monitoring is needed to determine population trend and explore impacts of threats. That the species has not been observed breeding at a majority of historic occurrences is concerning.

# **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.1 - Habitat Shifting & Alteration	2024-12-10	Dan Bachen	Auducon Survival by Degrees project	Pervasiv e	Serious	Moderat e	Audubon's survival by Degrees project predects severe declines in breeding range with warming of 1.5C.