Columbia Plateau Pocket Mouse (*Perognathus parvus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 16, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Rarity					
Range Extent	2024-09-16	Y: 15461.0 km²	MTNHP 3.140 Range Maps		None
Area of Occupancy			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Number of Occurrences	2024-09-16	12	1.380	MTNHP Databases	None
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Environmental Specificity	2012-01-04 Narrow		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Narrow Specialist. Species requires more or less sandy ground where sagebrush is the dominant plant. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B
Trends	Rarity	is calculated by a ((3.14 × 1)	averaging v + (1.38 × 1))	-	tor scores:
Short-term Trend	-term Trend 2012-01-04		_	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. No data on trends available. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U
Long-term Trend	g-term Trend 2018-05-03		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Not enough information exists on the distribution, habitat associations, or population or occupancy indices to assess long term trend Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U
		No trend data u	ised in rank	ing this spea	cies

Rarity and Trends

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments		
Threats							
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		Degradation/ development of sagebrush steppe		
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2018-05-03	Moderately vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Species is fecund and likely to recover quickly from local declines, however records are patchy possibly indicating that the species is not broadly distributed on the landscape. This could make dispersal between populations difficult and increase recovery Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B		
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (3.67) = 3.67							

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2024-09-16	Medium	Restricted	Serious	High	loss of sagebrush due to clearing for grazing and row crops

*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.</u>

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (2.26 × 70%) + Threats: (3.67 × 30%) + Trends: (0.00) = 2.68

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	\$3					
Date Approved	1985-01-01					
Approval Authority Montana Species of Concern Committee						
Rank JustificationSpecies is rare within suitable habitat in southwestern Montana. It faces that loss due to clearing of sagebrush. And has not been observed since						

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMAFD01100

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMAFD01100

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	Value	Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General Status Quality		Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danas Qualita	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreaten				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Species has not been reported in Montana since 2009. Data to assess status are sparse and may not reflect the current status of the species.

Summary of Information Needs

Targeted surveys of historic occurrences and areas of potentially suitable within and outside of the species range are needed to assess current status. With regular monitoring by repeating these baseline surveys, assessment of short-term trend should be possible.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2	2024-09-16	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Restricte d	Serious	High	loss of sagebrush due to clearing for grazing and row crops