Coeur d'Alene Salamander (*Plethodon idahoensis*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

October 22, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments		
Rarity							
Range Extent	2024-10-08	Y: 20371.9 km ²	3.930	MTNHP 930 Range None Maps			
Area of Occupancy	2024-10-08	343 4km ² cells	3.440	MTNHP Modeling	None		
Number of Occurrences	2024-10-08	162	4.130	MTNHP Databases	None		
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-10-08		0.000		None		
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
Environmental Specificity	2018-05-03	Very narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Species is restricted to springs, seeps, waterfall spray zones with suitable cover Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: A		
		v is calculated by a (3.93 × 1) + (3.44 × 2)					
Trends							
Short-term Trend	2024-10-08		-	MTNHP Data	Factor not used in ranking. Species appears relatively stable at monitored sites, but many occurrences are not monitored		
Long-term Trend	2024-10-08		-0.070	Expert Opinion	Development of roads and general degradation of habitat has likely occurred in some areas		
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: ((-0.07 × 1)) = -0.07							

Rarity and Trends

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value Score		Comments		
	High	1.830		None		
2018-05-03	Moderately vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Low reproductive output, vulnerable to loss of critical refugia habitat Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B		
	Assessed	Assessed Value Value Value	Assessed Value Score High 1.830 2018-05-03 Moderately	Assessed Value Score Source High 1.830 2018-05-03 Moderately vulnerable - MTNHP Species Rank Data		

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Transportation & Service Corridors	2024-10-08	Medium	Large	Moderate	High	Increased road width or work that would impact occupied springs. ~30% of high quality habitat exists along roads and impacts to these sites would be significant
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-10-08	High	Pervasive	Serious	High	Increased drought may significantly reduce habitat across the species range
		Threat Tally	, .	n, 1 - High, 1 - I at Impact* = H	Medium, 0 - Low ligh	

*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.</u>

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (2.49 × 70%) + Threats: (1.83 × 30%) + Trends: (-0.07) = 2.22

Calculated Rank: S2

Accepted Rank	S2					
Date Approved	Date Unknown					
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee					
Rank JustificationSpecies is widespread across western Montana, but occurs at relatively isolate associated with springs, seeps, and waterfall spray zones. It faces threats from impacts of road maintenance and expansion and drought.						

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AAAAD12270

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AAAAD12270

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Rank Assessment		Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General Status Quality		Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
		Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Species is well documented within currently recognized habitat, but may occur elsewhere. Threats are well understood. Trend is being monitored, but the results are not yet available.

Summary of Information Needs

Species is currently the subject of research to explore association with other habitat types and monitor known populations. The results of this project should satisfy current information needs.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Transportation & Service Corridors - 4.1 - Roads & Railroads	2024-10-08	Dan Bachen	MTNHP predicted habitat data	Large	Moderate	High	Increased road width or work that would impact occupied springs. ~30% of high quality habitat exists along roads and impacts to these sites would be significant
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.2 - Droughts	2024-10-08	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Pervasiv e	Serious	High	Increased drought may significantly reduce habitat across the speices range