# Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

February 29, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Date Value Sco		Data Source	Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2024-02-29	Y: 88002.8 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2024-02-29	10357   1km² cells	FWP Fish 4.810 Distributio n Layer		From FWP Distribution Layer			
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity			_		Factor not used in ranking.			
	Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: ( (3.93 × 1) + (4.81 × 2) ) / 3 = 4.52							
Trends								
Short-term Trend	2024-02-29		0.000		Number of catfish caught per site has remained roughly stable from 2009 to 2023 (BLM MCFO fisheries surveys). Numbers of catfish caught at trend sites on Yellowstone have remained stable or increasing. Sites on Missouri River where more than two sampling events occurred show a range of trends, some increasing, some decreasing, some stable. Big Horn River increasing (MT FWP trend data)			
Long-term Trend			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: ( $(0.00 \times 2)$ ) = 0.00								

# **Rarity and Trends**

# Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments			
Threats								
Overall Threat Impact		Low/No Threats	5.500		None			
Intrinsic Vulnerability			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (5.50) = 5.50								

#### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-02-29	Low	Pervasive	Slight	Moderate	Can tolerate a wide range of water temps, predicted water temps do not exceed their ideal spawning temperatures (NorWeST mean Aug Temps 2080s) so increasing water temps may not have a major impact on this species. Drought, loss of connectivity could make it difficult to find spawning areas as they are known to travel great distances up tributaries for spawning (BLM surveys, MT online field guide)	
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 0 - Medium, 1 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Low/No Threats							

\*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

## **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity: (4.52 × 70%) + Threats: (5.50 × 30%) + Trends: (0.00) = 4.81

Calculated Rank: S5

Accepted Rank	S5					
Date Approved	2025-02-03					
Approval Authority	<b>y</b> Montana Natural Heritage Program Staff					
Rank Justification	Widespread, common, and secure					

## **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana\_State\_Rank\_Criteria\_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AFCKA01100

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AFCKA01100

# **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	Malua	Criteria				
Factor	Category	value	Citteria				
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status		Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Range Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
		Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
meats		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
	Recency	Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
Trends		Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability None

Summary of Information Needs None

## **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Biological Resource Use - 5.4 - Fishing & Harvesting Aquatic Resources	2024-02-29	Christina Stuart	FWP Harvest Data	Pervasive	Negligible	High	Fished recreationally, multiple catfish tournaments yearly that are catch and release (catch and release mortality?), managed game fish by FWP to be sustainable with recreational harvest
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.1 - Habitat Shifting & Alteration	2024-02-29	Christina Stuart	None	Pervasive	Slight	Moderat e	Can tolerate a wide range of water temps, predicted water temps do not exceed their ideal spawning temperatures (NorWeST mean Aug Temps 2080s) so increasing water temps may not have a major impact on this species. Drought, loss of connectivity could make it difficult to find spawning areas as they are known to travel great distances up tributaries for spawning (BLM surveys, MT online field guide)