# Caspian Tern (*Hydroprogne caspia*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

October 21, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

# **Rarity and Trends**

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Rarity					
Range Extent	2024-10-21	S: 179618.4 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None
Area of Occupancy			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Number of Occurrences	2024-10-21	14	1.380	MTNHP Databases	None
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-10-21		2.200		None
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.
Environmental Specificity	2009-01-26	Very narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Species dependent on isolated islands or artificial floating platforms for nesting.   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: A

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores:  $(3.93 \times 1) + (1.38 \times 1) + (2.20 \times 2)) / 4 = 2.43$ 

Trends									
Short-term Trend	2024-10-21		-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Long-term Trend	2009-01-26		0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	North American breeding range has expanded since 1900 as a result of creation of large marshes with islands. Breeding population in U.S. was around 9,500 pairs in 1970, but has since doubled. Probably overall stable since European arrival +/- 25%   Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: E				

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores:  $((0.00 \times 1)) = 0.00$ 

## **Threats**

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Value Score		Comments		
hreats							
Overall Threat Impact		High	1.830		Altered hydrology, nest site disturbance, nest competition with more common species, and contaminants probably represent threats.		
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2009-01-26	Moderately vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Methodology: NS (2003)   Original Score: B		

(1.83) = 1.83

## **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases	2024-10-21	High	Pervasive	Serious	High	Bird flue has caused severe impacts (64% mortality in Wisconsin, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 2022) to the species in the Midwest. Montana impacts are possible
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-10-21	Medium	Pervasive	Moderate	High	Climate-mediated increase in spring temperatures and storms during the nesting season.

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 1 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact\* = High

<sup>\*</sup>See Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

## **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity:  $(2.43 \times 70\%)$  + Threats:  $(1.83 \times 30\%)$  + Trends: (0.00) = 2.25

Calculated Rank: S2

Accepted Rank	S2B				
Date Approved	2024-10-21				
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee				
Rank Justification	Species is uncommon across portions of northern Montana, but breeding is restricted to few sites, predominately on National Wildlife Refuges. Short-term trend is not studied. Threats include nest failure from increased spring temperatures and increasing storm severity in the spring due to climate change. Additionally Avian influenza has caused significant impacts elsewhere in the country and is a substantial threat to Montana's population.				

# **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNNM08020

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNNM08020

## **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Rank Assessment		A 11				
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality		Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danas Ovalita	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Ovality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

## **Summary of Information Availability**

Rarity and threats have data available for assessment. Short-term trend is unknown as there is no recent monitoring data.

## **Summary of Information Needs**

Surveys of breeding colonies following similar protocols to the colonial waterbird surveys conducted by Audubon should be performed at regular intervals to track trend of this species.

# **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date	Assessed	Data	Scope	Severity	Imme-	Comments
	Assessed	Ву	Source			diacy	
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases - 8.2 - Problematic Native Species/Diseases	2024-10-21	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Pervasiv e	Serious	High	Bird flue has caused severe impacts (64% mortality in Wisconsin, Wiisconson Department of Natural Resources 2022) to the species in the midwest. Montana impacts are possible
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.4 - Storms & Flooding	2024-10-21	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Pervasiv e	Moderate	High	Climate-mediated increase in spring temperatures and storms during the nesting season.