Canyon Wren (*Catherpes mexicanus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 31, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Rank Factor Date Value Value		Score	Data Source	Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2025-01-31	Y: 249530.0 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2025-01-31	4161 4km ² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None			
Number of Occurrences	2025-01-31	6	1.380	MTNHP Data	Approximately 6 areas with breeding in the state			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity	2011-12-22 Narrow		-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Narrow Specialist. Species uses cliffs, steep-sided canyons, rocky outcrops, and boulder piles, usually in arid regions. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B			
Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: ((4.71 × 1) + (4.81 × 2) + (1.38 × 1)) / 4 = 3.93								
Trends								
Short-term Trend	2023-12-20	-43.2%	-0.140	IMBCR	IMBCR trend in population estimates for Montana. "-Point Estimate"			
Long-term Trend	Long-term Trend 2011-12-22 0.000		MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Rocky cliffs or rock outcrops have remained stable (+/- 25%) since European arrival. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E				
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: ((-0.14 × 2) + (0.00 × 1)) = -0.28								

Rarity and Trends

Threats

Rank Factor	ictor Date Value		Score Data Source		Comments			
Threats								
Overall Threat Impact		Low/No Threats	5.500		Localized habitat loss from quarries and localized disturbance from rock climbers are the only threats we were able to identify. However, we believe these are fairly insignificant impacts.			
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2011-12-22	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has a high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance. Species has good dispersal capabilities such that extirpated populations generally become reestablished through natural recolonization. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C			
Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (5.50) = 5.50								

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
No individual threats data used in ranking this species						

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (3.93 × 70%) + Threats: (5.50 × 30%) + Trends: (-0.28) = 4.12

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4		
Date Approved	2025-01-31		
Approval Authority	Montana Natural Heritage Program Staff		
Rank JustificationSpecies is relatively common within suitable habitat and widely distribut portions of the state. It is apparently declining and threats are unknown			

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABPBG04010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABPBG04010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	Malua	Critorio				
Factor	Category	value	Citteria				
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status		Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Range Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
		Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
_		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
meats		Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
	Recency	Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
Trends [–]		Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Threats are poorly understood, but other information are available.

Summary of Information Needs

Species is undergoing declines with unknown threats. Research into causes of these declines is necessary as is continued monitoring of trend through IMBCR or BBS.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
No Threat Identified - 0.5 - Unknown/Undetermined Threat	2025-01-31	None	None	None	None	None	None
No threats data available for this species							