Calliope Hummingbird (Selasphorus calliope) Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 30, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2025-01-30	S: 188829.9 km²	3.930	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2025-01-30	8343 4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None			
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity	2009-01-15	Moderate	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Use a wide variety of habitats, but typically rely on riparian areas for nesting. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C			

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((3.93 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2)) / 3 = 4.52$

Trends								
Short-term Trend	2023-12-20	[-28.0, 5.0%]	[-0.070, 0.000]	IMBCR	IMBCR trend in population estimates for Montana. "- 95% CI"			
Long-term Trend	2009-01-15		0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Open forests with flowers for foraging have been stable to increasing since European arrival. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E			

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $(([-0.07, 0.00] \times 2) + (0.00 \times 1)) = [-0.14, 0.00]$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments		
Threats							
Overall Threat Impact		Medium - low	[3.670, 5.500]		Limited threats		
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2009-01-15	Moderately vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B		

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: ([3.67, 5.50]) = [3.67, 5.50]

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2025-01-30	Medium - Low	Pervasive	Moderate- Slight	Moderate	Minor to moderate habitat loss predicted with 1.5C warming

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, [0,1] - Medium, [0,1] - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium - low

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(4.52 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $([3.67, 5.50] \times 30\%)$ + Trends: ([-0.14, 0.00]) = [4.12, 4.81]

Calculated Rank: S4S5

Accepted Rank	S4S5B
Date Approved	2025-01-30
Approval Authority	MTNHP Staff
Rank Justification	

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNUC48010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNUC48010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment		Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value					
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danasa Qualita	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity	ritv	Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends		Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
	Trend Quality	Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

None

Summary of Information Needs

None

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.1 - Habitat Shifting & Alteration	2025-01-30	Dan Bachen	Audubon Survival by Degrees Project	Pervasiv e	Moderate- Slight	Moderat e	Minor to moderate habitat loss predicted with 1.5C warming