Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia***) Conservation Status Rank Summary**

December 4, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Rarity						
Range Extent 2024-12-		S: 310344.8 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None	
Area of Occupancy	2024-10-07	9030 4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None	
Number of Occurrences	2024-10-07	1290	5.500	MTNHP Databases	None	
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-10-07		0.000	MTNHP All occurrences are impacted by Plague other threats		
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Environmental 2011-12-20 Narrow		Narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Narrow Specialist. Dependent on burrow habitats which are still widespread because prairie dogs are fairly widespread. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B	

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $(4.71 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2) + (5.50 \times 1) + (0.00 \times 2) / 6 = 3.31$

Trends									
Short-term Trend	2024-10-07		-	Expert Opinion	Factor not used in ranking. Data of sufficient quality to track short-term trend are not available from BBS or IMBCR				
Long-term Trend	2011-12-20		-0.140	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Probably have lost >25% of prairie dog habitat in Montana since European arrival. Extirpated from B.C. where reintroduction efforts have reestablished small numbers. Also, range has contracted in Minnesota and other portions of Canada. Evidence suggests populations have declined due to habitat loss, pesticides, predators, and vehicle collisions. Human shooting and nest burrow disturbance have been a significant problem in some areas. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: D				

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((-0.14 \times 1)) = -0.14$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		High	1.830		None	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2011-12-20	Not intrinsically vulnerable Not intrinsically vulnerable Not intrinsically vulnerable Not intrinsically vulnerable Table Vulnerable. Species mat frequently, and/or has a populations recover quid generations) from decrees Species has good dispersent the populations greestablished through no populations green and populations recover quid generations) from decree species mat frequently, and/or has a populations recover quid generations) from decree species has good dispersent through no populations recover quid generations and populations recover quid generations are populations and populations recover quid generations are populations green and populations green and populations green are populations green are populations green and populations green are populations green and populations green are populations green are populations green and populations green are populations green are populations green and populations green are populations green are populations green and green are populations green are populations green are populations green and green are populations green are populations green are populations green are populations green are population and green are population are p			Factor not used in ranking. Not Intrinsically Vulnerable. Species matures quickly, reproduces frequently, and/or has a high fecundity such that populations recover quickly (5 years or 2 generations) from decreases in abundance. Species has good dispersal capabilities such that extirpated populations generally become reestablished through natural recolonization. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C	

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (1.83) = 1.83

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments
Agriculture & Aquaculture	2024-10-07	Medium	Restricted	Serious	High	Persecution and removal of Prairie Dogs and ground squirrels may lead to loss of burrow habitat
Biological Resource Use	2024-10-07	Medium	Large	Moderate	High	Direct morality from shooting via intentional shooting or incidental shooting when mistaken for Prairie Dogs or Ground Squirrels. Consumption of lead-tainted carcasses
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases	2024-10-07	Medium	Pervasive	Moderate	High	Larger colonies of Black-tailed Prairie Dog are associated with lower owl densities and breeding success. Sylvatic Plague reduces prairie dog density and increases colony size and ongoing impacts on owls are likely

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 3 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(3.31 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(1.83 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (-0.14) = 2.72

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3B
Date Approved	Date Unknown
Approval Authority	Legacy Assessment: MTNHP Staff
Rank Justification	Species is found across south, central, and eastern Montana and associated with prairie dog and ground squirrel colonies. Current population trend in Montana is unknown. It faces threats from loss of prairie dog colonies due to plague and agricultural practices that seek to reduce or eliminate rodent populations. Species is also vulnerable to direct mortality from recreational shooting of prairie dogs.

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana State Rank Criteria 20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNSB10010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNSB10010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Rank Assessment Factor Category		Criteria				
Factor							
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danas Ovalita	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Ovality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
	Recency	Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
		Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
Trends		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Data to assess species status are generally available, but short-term trend is not.

Summary of Information Needs

Species does not appear to have a current monitoring effort. Given the high level of threats and declines across the species range, implementation of monitoring across the species range in Montana will provide data necessary to assess current trend and impacts of threats.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	A	Assessed	Data	Scope	Severity	Imme-	Comments
	Assessed	By Source				diacy	
Agriculture & Aquaculture - 2.3 - Livestock Farming & 2 Ranching	2024-10-07	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Restricte d	Serious	High	Pesicution and removal of Prairie Dogs and ground squirrels may lead to loss of burrow habitat
Biological Resource Use - 5.1 - Hunting & Collecting Terrestrial Animals	2024-10-07	Dan Bachen	Restani et al. 2001; McTee et al. 2019	Large	Moderate	High	Direct morality from shooting via intential shooting or incidental shooting when mistaken for Prairie Dogs or Ground Squirrels. Consumtion of lead-tainted carcasses
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases - 8.1 - Invasive Non-Native/Alien Species/Diseases	2024-10-07	Dan Bachen	Hughes 1993, Desmond and Savidge 1996; Restani et al. 2001; Woodard 2002	Pervasiv e	Moderate	High	Larger colonies of Black-tailed Prairie Dog are associated with lower owl densities and breeding success. Sylvatic Plague reduces prairie dog density and increases colony size and ongoing impacts on owls are likley