Brown-headed Cowbird (*Molothrus ater*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

December 28, 2023

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments				
Rarity									
Range Extent	2023-12-28	S: 380530.8 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None				
Area of Occupancy	2023-12-28	14523 4km² cells	5.500	MTNHP Modeling	None				
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: ((4.71 × 1) + (5.50 × 2)) / 3 = 5.24									
Trends	Trends								
Short-term Trend	2023-12-20	[-10.9, -4.0%]	[-0.070 <i>,</i> 0.000]	IMBCR	IMBCR trend in population estimates for Montana. "- 95% CI"				
Long-term Trend			-		Factor not used in ranking.				
Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: (([-0.07, 0.00] × 2)) = [-0.14, 0.00]									

Rarity and Trends

Threats

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments		
Threats							
Overall Threat Impact			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
Intrinsic Vulnerability			-		Factor not used in ranking.		
No threat or vulnerability data used in ranking this species							

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
No individual threats data used in ranking this species							

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (5.24 × 100%) + Threats: (0.00) + Trends: ([-0.14, 0.00]) = [5.10, 5.24]

Calculated Rank: S5

Accepted Rank	S5B					
Date Approved	2023-12-28					
Approval Authority	Heritage Program					
Rank Justification	Species is common and stable					

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABPBXB7030

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABPBXB7030

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank			Criteria					
Factor	Category							
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)					
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank wit or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)					
		Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)					
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape					
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined					
-		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)					
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)					
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood					
	Threat Quality	Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")					
Threats		Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")					
meats	Theat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed					
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed					
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old					
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened					
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old					
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available					
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)					
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened					
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected					
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown					

Summary of Information Availability

All data to assess rank are present and generally of good quality.

Summary of Information Needs

No additional data are needed at this time

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
No threats data available for this species							