Black-tailed Prairie Dog (*Cynomys ludovicianus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 16, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

| Rank Factor | Date Assessed | Value | Score | Data Source | Comments | |
|---|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Rarity | | | | | | |
| Range Extent | 2024-09-16 | Y: 216551.2 km² | 4.710 | MTNHP Range Maps | None | |
| Area of Occupancy | 2024-09-16 | 9926 4km ² cells | 4.810 | MTNHP Modeling | None | |
| Number of Occurrences | 2024-09-16 | 4074 | 5.500 | MTNHP Databases | None | |
| Population Size | 2017-04-20 | 17-04-20 - Spec Rank I | | MTNHP Species Rank Data Table | Factor not used in ranking. Unknown. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U | |
| # of Occurrences in Good Condition | 2024-09-16 | 0 | 0.000 | Antolin et al. 2002 | Plague is present state-wide and impact the entire range of this species | |
| % of Area Occupied in Good Condition | | | - | | Factor not used in ranking. | |
| Environmental Specificity | | | - | | Factor not used in ranking. | |
| Trends | | v is calculated by a (4.71 × 1) + (4.81 × 2) | | | | |
| Short-term Trend | 2024-09-16 | | - | MTNHP | Factor not used in ranking. Comprehensive surveys to determine trend in acreage of colonies have not been completed within the last 10 years | |
| Long-term Trend | ng-term Trend 2018-09-26 | | -0.140 | MTNHP Species Rank Data Table | Since European arrival substantial declines have occurred due to persecution and the introduction of plague Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: D | |
| Tren | ds score is calo | | ng weighte 9.14 × 1)) = -0 | | long-term trend scores: | |

Rarity and Trends

Threats

| Rank Factor | Date Assessed | Value | Score | Data Source | Comments | | | |
|--|------------------|-------|-------|----------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Threats | | | | | | | | |
| Overall Threat Impact | | High | 1.830 | | None | | | |
| Intrinsic Vulnerability | | | - | | Factor not used in ranking. | | | |
| Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (1.83) = 1.83 | | | | | | | | |

Individual Threats Data

| Threat Category | Date Assessed | Impact Score | Scope | Severity | Immediacy | Comments |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Biological Resource Use | 2024-09-16 | Low | Small | Moderate | High | Recreational shooting may have a minor impact on local colonies, but the scope of this threat is limited |
| Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases | 2024-09-16 | High | Pervasive | Serious | High | Plague has contributed to declines and fragmentation of colonies across the species range. |
| | | Threat Tally | | , 1 - High, 0 - at Impact* = H | Medium, 1 - Low ligh | |

*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.</u>

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (3.31 × 70%) + Threats: (1.83 × 30%) + Trends: (-0.14) = 2.72

Calculated Rank: S3

| Accepted Rank | \$3 | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date Approved1996-06-01 | | | | | | |
| Approval Authority | Montana Species of Concern Committee | | | | | |
| Rank JustificationSpecies is common and widespread, but has declined due to mortality and fragmentation due to Sylvatic Plague. Trend within the last 10 years is unkr | | | | | | |

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMAFB06010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMAFB06010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

| Rank | Rank Assessment | | Criteria |
|---------|------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Factor | Category | Value | Criteria |
| General | Status Quality | Adequate | Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3) |
| Status | Status Quanty | Poor | Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5) |
| | Dance Quelity | Adequate | Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species) |
| | Range Quality | Marginal | Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape |
| Rarity | | Poor | Range polygon not defined |
| - | | Adequate | Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available) |
| | Habitat Quality | Marginal | Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate) |
| | | Poor | Species-habitat relationship is not well understood |
| | | Adequate | Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened") |
| Threats | Threat Origility | Marginal | Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium") |
| Inreats | Threat Quality | Poor | Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed |
| | | Unknown | Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed |
| | | Current | Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old |
| | Recency | Out of Date but Adequate | Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened |
| | | Out of Date | Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old |
| | | Not Available | Short-term Trend data are not available |
| Trends | Trend Quality | Sufficient | Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing) |
| | | Unknown but Sufficient | Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened |
| | | Poor | Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected |
| | | Unknown | Short-term Trend is Unknown |

Summary of Information Availability

Data to assess status are available, but short-term trend is out of date as colony coverage has not been assessed across the state in over 10 years.

Summary of Information Needs

Analysis of the number of colonies and acreage of these colonies is needed to assess trend and maintain a valid status rank. Assessment of arial imagery should be conducted following previous protocols.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

| Threat Category | Date Assessed | Assessed By | Data Source | Scope | Severity | Imme- diacy | Comments |
|---|------------------|----------------|--|---------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Biological Resource Use - 5.1 - Hunting & Collecting Terrestrial Animals | 2024-09-16 | Dan Bachen | Vosburgh and Irby 1998 | Small | Moderate | High | Recreational shooting may have a minor impact on local colonies, but the scope of this threat is limited |
| Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases - 8.1 - Invasive Non-Native/Alien Species/Diseases | 2024-09-16 | Dan Bachen | Keuler at al. 2020; Cully et al. 2010 | Pervasiv e | Serious | High | Plague has contributed to declines and fragmentation of colonies across the species range. |