Black-necked Stilt (*Himantopus mexicanus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

December 2, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments	
Rarity						
Range Extent	nge Extent 2024-12-02 S: 241356.0 km² 4.710		MTNHP Range Maps	None		
Area of Occupancy	2024-12-02	1885 4km² cells	4.130	MTNHP Modeling	None	
Number of Occurrences	2024-12-02	34	2.750	MTNHP Databases	None	
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-12-02		2.200		None	
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Environmental Specificity	2009-01-20	Narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Dependent on larger wetland habitats Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B	
Trends		r is calculated by a (4.71 × 1) + (4.13 × 2)				
Short-term Trend	2024-12-02		-	MTNHP	Factor not used in ranking. Species was monitored in the past by Montana Audubon, but no recent monitoring has been conducted	
Long-term Trend	2009-01-20		0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Larger wetland complexes have been relatively stable since European arrival. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E	
Tren	ds score is calo	•	ng weighte .00 × 1)) = 0		long-term trend scores:	

Rarity and Trends

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments
Threats					
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		Wetland loss, Climate Change, Drought, and human activity at nest sites
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2009-01-20	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C
Threat score	is calculated fr		t Impact wl 3.67) = 3.67	hen availabl	e or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not:

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-12-02	Medium	Pervasive	Moderate	Moderate	Drought during the spring nesting period has the potential to impact this species	
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 1 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium							

*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats</u>.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (3.35 × 70%) + Threats: (3.67 × 30%) + Trends: (0.00) = 3.45

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3B					
Date Approved	1993-05-01					
Approval Authority Montana Species of Concern Committee						
Rank JustificationSpecies is an uncommon to rare breeding resident found across much of Mon Current short-term trends are unknown and it faces moderate threats from d						

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNND01010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNND01010

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	Malua	Crittoria
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)
	Danage Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")
Threats	Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")
meats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown

Summary of Information Availability

Range, habitat, and general status quality are adequate. Data to inform short-term trend are currently lacking.

Summary of Information Needs

Species was included in Audubon monitoring of species breeding on wildlife refuges in the past, but this monitoring is not ongoing. These surveys should be repeated and expanded to include unprotected sites to better assess trend across protected and unprotected lands.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.2 - Droughts	2024-12-02	Dan Bachen	Expert Opinion	Pervasiv e	Moderate	Moderat e	Drought during the spring nesting period has the potential to impact this species