# Big Brown Bat (*Eptesicus fuscus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

September 12, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments			
Rarity								
Range Extent	2024-09-12	Y: 380530.8 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None			
Area of Occupancy	2024-09-12	8430   4km² cells	4.810	MTNHP Modeling	None			
Number of Occurrences			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
# of Occurrences in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Environmental Specificity			-		Factor not used in ranking.			
	Rarity	v is calculated by a ( (4.71 × 1)	averaging w + (4.81 × 2) )	-	tor scores:			
Trends								
Short-term Trend	2024-09-12		-		Factor not used in ranking.			
Long-term Trend	rm Trend - Factor not used in ranking.							
No trend data used in ranking this species								

# **Rarity and Trends**

# Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		Although this species has been observed with White-Nose Syndrome in the eastern US and Canada, the effects on populations are less catastrophic than in the Myotis species group	
Intrinsic Vulnerability			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Threat score	is calculated fr		it Impact w ( 3.67 ) = 3.67	hen availabl	e or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not:	

#### **Individual Threats Data**

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments			
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases	2024-09-12	Medium	Restricted	Serious	High	Species is impacted by White-Nose Syndrome, populations within endemic areas of North America have declined by approximately 20%, but have increased in some areas. Impacts to populations in Montana are unknown			
	Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 1 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium								

\*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats</u>.

### **Conservation Status Rank Calculation**

#### Raw score

Rarity: (4.78 × 70%) + Threats: (3.67 × 30%) + Trends: (0.00) = 4.45

Calculated Rank: S4

Accepted Rank	S4	
Date Approved	2024-12-18	
Approval Authority	MTNHP	
Rank JustificationSpecies is widely distributed and locally abundant. White-Nose Syndrome in possible.		

### **Supplementary Information**

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. <u>https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana\_State\_Rank\_Criteria\_20211201.pdf</u>

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=AMACC04010

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=AMACC04010

# **Information Needs**

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank Assessment		Malasa	Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
	Danas Quality	Adequate	Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
meats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

### Summary of Information Availability

Information to assess status are generally available, but trend is unknown.

### Summary of Information Needs

Species is well-suited to acoustic monitoring and data to assess trend have been collected. Acoustic monitoring should continue, and analysis of these data should be prioritized to determine trend.

# **Additional Threat Details**

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Invasive & Other Problematic Species, Genes & Diseases - 8.1 - Invasive Non-Native/Alien Species/Diseases	2024-09-12	None	Simonis et al. 2024; Cheng et al. 2021	Restricte d	Serious	High	Species is impacted by White-Nose Syndrome, populations within endemic areas of North America have declined by approximately 20%, but have increased in some areas. Impacts to populations in Montana are unknown