Barrow's Goldeneye (*Bucephala islandica*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

January 23, 2025

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
<u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rarity and Trends

| Rank Factor Date Assessed | | Value | Score Data Source | | Comments | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Rarity | | | | | | |
| Range Extent | 2024-01-09 | Y: 201210.7 km² | 4.710 | MTNHP Range Maps | None | |
| Area of Occupancy | 2024-01-09 | 2724 4km² cells | 4.810 | MTNHP Modeling | None | |
| Number of Occurrences | | | 1 | | Factor not used in ranking. | |
| Population Size | | | - | | Factor not used in ranking. | |
| # of Occurrences in Good Condition | | | - | | Factor not used in ranking. | |
| % of Area Occupied in Good Condition | | | 1 | | Factor not used in ranking. | |
| Environmental Specificity | 2009-01-14 | Narrow | - | MTNHP Species Rank Data Table | Factor not used in ranking. Specialize on ponded habitats with large nest snags for nesting, both of which are widespread Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B | |

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $((4.71 \times 1) + (4.81 \times 2))/3 = 4.78$

| Trends | | | | |
|------------------|------------|-------|--|---|
| Short-term Trend | 2009-01-14 | - | MTNHP Species Rank Data Table | Factor not used in ranking. Breeding Bird Survey (BBS) data is very limited across their range. Trends are unknown, but likely stable. CBC data for Montana is relatively stable. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: U |
| Long-term Trend | 2009-01-14 | 0.000 | MTNHP Species Rank Data Table | Loss of some lentic habitats such as beaver ponds since European arrival, but stable within +/- 25% Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E |

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: ((0.00×1)) = 0.00

Threats

| Rank Factor Date Assessed | | Value | Score | Data Source | Comments |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Threats | | | | | |
| Overall Threat Impact | | High | 1.830 | | Reduced pond habitat due to beaver loss, and altered hydrology. Also loss of big snags for nesting. |
| Intrinsic Vulnerability | 2009-01-14 | Moderately vulnerable | - | MTNHP Species Rank Data Table | Factor not used in ranking. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B |
| Threat score | is calculated fro | | it Impact w (1.83) = 1.83 | | e or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: |

Individual Threats Data

| Threat Category | Date Assessed | Impact Score | Scope | Severity | Immediacy | Comments | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|-----------|---|--|--|
| Climate Change & Severe Weather | 2025-01-23 | High | Pervasive | Serious | Moderate | Audubon's Survival by Degrees Project predicts significant impacts to the species with 1.5c warming | | |
| Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 0 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High | | | | | | | | |

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(4.78 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(1.83 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (0.00) = 3.89

Calculated Rank: S4

| Accepted Rank | S4 |
|--------------------|---|
| Date Approved | Date Unknown |
| Approval Authority | Legacy Assessment: MTNHP Staff |
| Rank Justification | Species is uncommon across western Montana. Population trend is uncertain but it may be declining. Threats are poorly documented, but include habitat loss due to warming temperatures. |

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNJB18020

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNJB18020

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

| Rank | Rank Assessment | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Factor | Category | Value | Criteria | | | | |
| General | Status Quality | Adequate | Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3) | | | | |
| Status | Status Quality | Poor | Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5) | | | | |
| | Danas Quality | Adequate | Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species) | | | | |
| | Range Quality | Marginal | Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape | | | | |
| Rarity | | Poor | Range polygon not defined | | | | |
| | | Adequate | Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available) | | | | |
| | Habitat Quality | Marginal | Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate) | | | | |
| | | Poor | Species-habitat relationship is not well understood | | | | |
| | | Adequate | Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened") | | | | |
| Threats | Throat Quality | Marginal | Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium") | | | | |
| inreats | Threat Quality | Poor | Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed | | | | |
| | | Unknown | Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed | | | | |
| | | Current | Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old | | | | |
| | Recency | Out of Date but Adequate | Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened | | | | |
| Trends | | Out of Date | Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old | | | | |
| | | Not Available | Short-term Trend data are not available | | | | |
| | Trend Quality | Sufficient | Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing) | | | | |
| | | Unknown but Sufficient | Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened | | | | |
| | | Poor | Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected | | | | |
| | | Unknown | Short-term Trend is Unknown | | | | |

Summary of Information Availability

Threats are poorly characterized and trend for breeding individuals is not available.

Summary of Information Needs

Understanding the current population status would provide data to calculate a robust status rank.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

| Threat Category | Date Assessed | Assessed By | Data Source | Scope | Severity | Imme- diacy | Comments |
|--|------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------|---|
| Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.1 - Habitat Shifting & Alteration | 2025-01-23 | Dan Bachen | Audubon Survival by Degrees | Pervasiv e | Serious | Moderat e | Audubon's Survival by Degrees Project predicts significant impacts to the species with 1.5c warming |