American Bittern (*Botaurus lentiginosus*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

December 11, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u>
Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species

Rarity and Trends

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Rarity						
Range Extent	2024-10-07	S: 380530.8 km²	4.710	MTNHP Range Maps	None	
Area of Occupancy			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Number of Occurrences	2024-10-07	46	2.750	MTNHP Databases	None	
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-10-07		3.300	MTNHP data	Most occurrences are within protected areas managed by the USFWS for conservation of bird species	
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Environmental Specificity	2008-09-15	Very narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Large wetlands with emergent vegetation species is dependent on are not that common in Montana. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: A	

Rarity is calculated by averaging weighted factor scores: $(4.71 \times 1) + (2.75 \times 1) + (3.30 \times 2) / 4 = 3.52$

Trends								
Short-term Trend	2024-10-07		-	Expert Opinion	Factor not used in ranking. Data of sufficient quality to assess trend are not available from BBS and IMBCR			
Long-term Trend	2008-09-15		-0.140	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	High wetland habitat loss since the time of European arrival. Species is dependent on wetlands. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: D			

Trends score is calculated by summing weighted short and long-term trend scores: $((-0.14 \times 1)) = -0.14$

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score	Data Source	Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		Medium	3.670		None	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2008-09-15	Moderately vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B	

Threat score is calculated from Overall Threat Impact when available or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not: (3.67) = 3.67

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments		
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-10-07	Medium	Pervasive	Moderate	High	Loss of wetland habitat due to drought.		
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High. 0 - High. 1 - Medium. 0 - Low								

Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 0 - High, 1 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = Medium

^{*}See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: $(3.52 \times 70\%)$ + Threats: $(3.67 \times 30\%)$ + Trends: (-0.14) = 3.42

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3B			
Date Approved	2008-10-01			
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee			
Rank Justification	Species is an uncommon and cryptic species found across Montana in wetland habitats. Breeding has been confirmed at relatively few sites and loss of wetlands habitat remains a threat to the species. Current trend is unknown.			

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p.

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account:

https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABNGA01020

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model:

https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABNGA01020

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Rank Assessment						
Factor	Category	Value	Criteria				
General	General Status Quality		Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status			Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
			Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Throat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
inreats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
Trends		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Data to assess species status are generally available, but short-term trend is not.

Summary of Information Needs

General avian monitoring programs are insufficient to characterize population changes for this species. Species specific monitoring is needed to determine population trend and explore impacts of threats.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.2 - Droughts	2024-10-07	Dan Bachen	Expert opinion	Pervasiv e	Moderate	High	Loss of wetland habitat due to drough.