Alder Flycatcher (*Empidonax alnorum*) Conservation Status Rank Summary

December 4, 2024

For details on assessment and ranking methodology, see: <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process,</u> <u>Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u>

Rank Factor	Date Assessed	Value	Score	Data Source	Comments	
Rarity						
Range Extent	2024-12-04	024-12-04 S: 3043.1 km ² 2.360 MTNHP Maps		None		
Area of Occupancy	2024-12-04	109 4km ² cells	2.750	MTNHP Modeling	None	
Number of Occurrences	2024-12-04	32	2.750	MTNHP Databases	None	
Population Size			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
# of Occurrences in Good Condition	2024-12-04		3.300		None	
% of Area Occupied in Good Condition			-		Factor not used in ranking.	
Environmental Specificity	2009-01-29	Narrow	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Species dependent on woody wetland vegetation, usually in mid to higher elevation boggy areas. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: B	
Trends		r is calculated by a (2.36 × 1) + (2.75 × 2)				
Short-term Trend	2024-12-04		-	MTNHP Data	Factor not used in ranking. No short-term trend data are available for this species	
Long-term Trend	end 2009-01-29		0.000	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Woody wetland vegetation has probably been stable within +/- 25% since European arrival. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: E	
Trene	ds score is calo	•	ng weighte).00 × 1)) = 0		long-term trend scores:	

Rarity and Trends

Threats

Rank Factor Date Assessed		Value	Score Data Source		Comments	
Threats						
Overall Threat Impact		High	1.830		Collision with towers has been reported as a threat, but threats to Montana populations are largely unknown.	
Intrinsic Vulnerability	2009-01-29	Not intrinsically vulnerable	-	MTNHP Species Rank Data Table	Factor not used in ranking. Methodology: NS (2003) Original Score: C	
Threat score	is calculated fr		t Impact w 1.83) = 1.83	hen availabl	e or Intrinsic Vulnerability if not:	

Individual Threats Data

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Impact Score	Scope	Severity	Immediacy	Comments	
Climate Change & Severe Weather	2024-10-04	High	Pervasive	Serious	Moderate	Audubon's Survival by Degrees project predicts an almost complete loss of habitat in Montana with a 1.5C increase in temperature	
Threat Tally: 0 - Very High, 1 - High, 0 - Medium, 0 - Low Overall Threat Impact* = High							

*See <u>Conservation Status Assessment Definitions</u>, <u>Process</u>, <u>Rank Factors</u>, <u>and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species</u> for calculation of Overall Threat Impact based on the number and impact of individual threats.</u>

Conservation Status Rank Calculation

Raw score

Rarity: (2.87 × 70%) + Threats: (1.83 × 30%) + Trends: (0.00) = 2.56

Calculated Rank: S3

Accepted Rank	S3B
Date Approved	1995-02-01
Approval Authority	Montana Species of Concern Committee
Rank Justification	Species is rare across Montana with breeding individuals found only along the Rocky Mountain Front. Current trend is unknown, and the species faces a substantial threat of habitat loss due to climate change. Species lacks short-term trend data, but other information to assess status are available.

Supplementary Information

Montana Natural Heritage Program. 2021. Conservation Status Assessment Definitions, Process, Rank Factors, and Calculation of State Ranks for Montana Species. 18 p. https://mtnhp.mt.gov/docs/Montana_State_Rank_Criteria_20211201.pdf

Montana Field Guide Species Account: https://fieldguide.mt.gov/speciesDetail.aspx?elcode=ABPAE33030

Predicted Suitable Habitat Model: https://mtnhp.mt.gov/resources/models/?elcode=ABPAE33030

Information Needs

Information needs are assessed by considering the availability of factors used to assess species status as well as the quality of these assessments. Current information availability and quality to inform Conservation Status Rank for this species are highlighted.

Rank	Assessment	Malua	Criteria				
Factor	Category	Value	Citeria				
General	Status Quality	Adequate	Calculated rank has low uncertainty and is represented by a single rank (e.g. S3); accepted rank may be adjusted to a range rank (e.g. S2S3)				
Status	Status Quality	Poor	Rank assessed as SU or calculated rank has notable uncertainty and corresponds to a range rank with 2 or more values (e.g. S2?, S1S3, or S4S5)				
			Range polygon adequately represents area of probable occupancy and does not include substantial unoccupied areas; range may be adequately defined and still include areas of unsuitable habitat (e.g. mountain ranges for plains species)				
	Range Quality	Marginal	Range polygon defined, but may include or exclude notable areas where the species may or may not occur on the landscape				
Rarity		Poor	Range polygon not defined				
		Adequate	Species-habitat relationship is well-defined (e.g. relevant literature or robust habitat model available)				
	Habitat Quality	Marginal	Understanding of species-habitat relationship is adequate among some but not all habitats (e.g. literature covers similar habitats outside of Montana or habitat model performance is only somewhat adequate)				
		Poor	Species-habitat relationship is not well understood				
		Adequate	Threat Impact is a single value (including "Unthreatened")				
Threats	Threat Quality	Marginal	Threat Impact assessed at more than one value (e.g. "High - Medium")				
meats	Threat Quality	Poor	Threat Impact is Unknown but Intrinsic Vulnerability is assessed				
		Unknown	Threat Impact is Unknown and Intrinsic Vulnerability is not assessed				
		Current	Short-term Trend assessment date less than 10 years old				
	Recency	Out of Date but Adequate	Short-term Trend assessment date is more than 10 years old or Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Out of Date	Short-term Trend assessment date more than 10 years old				
		Not Available	Short-term Trend data are not available				
Trends	Trend Quality	Sufficient	Short-term Trend assessed at a single value or multiple values with a minimum trend greater than -10% (stable or increasing)				
		Unknown but Sufficient	Short-term Trend is Unknown, but species is Unthreatened				
		Poor	Short-term Trend is less than -10% (in decline) with two or more values selected				
		Unknown	Short-term Trend is Unknown				

Summary of Information Availability

Data to assess species status are generally available, but short-term trend is not.

Summary of Information Needs

General avian monitoring programs are insufficient to characterize population changes for this species. Species specific monitoring is needed to determine population trend and explore impacts of threats.

Additional Threat Details

The table below contains the complete threats assessment for this species. While the Conservation Status Rank Calculation is based on cumulative, broadly categorized (Level 1) threats data, threats are assessed and tracked for more specifically categorized (Level 2) threats when available.

Threat Category	Date Assessed	Assessed By	Data Source	Scope	Severity	Imme- diacy	Comments
Climate Change & Severe Weather - 11.1 - Habitat Shifting & Alteration	2024-10-04	Dan Bachen	Audubon Survival by Degrees	Pervasiv e	Serious	Moderat e	Audubon's Survival by Degrees project predicts an almost complete loss of habitat in Montana with a 1.5C increase in temperature